
CORVUS GOLD INC.
(An Exploration Stage Company)

FORM 51-102F1
MANAGEMENT DISCUSSION & ANALYSIS

April 12, 2012

Introduction

This Management Discussion & Analysis (“MD&A”) for Corvus Gold Inc. (the “Company” or “Corvus”) for the nine months ended February 29, 2012 has been prepared by management, in accordance with the requirements of National Instrument 51-102, as of April 12, 2012, and compares its financial results for the three and nine months ended January 31, 2012 to the three and nine months ended January 31, 2011. This MD&A provides a detailed analysis of the business of Corvus and should be read in conjunction with the Company’s unaudited condensed consolidated interim financial statements for the nine months period ended February 29, 2012 and the audited consolidated financial statements for the years ended May 31, 2011 and 2010. The Company’s reporting currency is the Canadian dollar and all amounts in this MD&A are expressed in Canadian dollars. The Company reports its financial position, results of operations and cash-flows in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards.

Caution Regarding Forward Looking Statements

This MD&A contains forward-looking statements and forward-looking information (collectively, “forward-looking statements”) within the meaning of applicable Canadian and US securities legislation. These statements relate to future events or the future activities or the performance of the Company. All statements, other than statements of historical fact, are forward-looking statements. Information concerning mineral resource estimates also may be deemed to be forward-looking statements in that it reflects a prediction of the mineralization that would be encountered if a mineral deposit were developed and mined. Forward-looking statements are typically identified by words such as: believe, expect, anticipate, intend, estimate, postulate, plans and similar expressions, or which by their nature refer to future events. These forward looking statements include, but are not limited to, statements concerning:

- the Company’s strategies and objectives, both generally and in respect of its specific mineral properties or exploration and evaluation assets;
- the timing of decisions regarding the timing and costs of exploration programs with respect to, and the issuance of the necessary permits and authorizations required for, the Company’s exploration programs, including the North Bullfrog project;
- the Company’s estimates of the quality and quantity of the resources at its mineral properties;
- the timing and cost of planned exploration programs of the Company and its joint venture partners (as applicable), and the timing of the receipt of results therefrom;
- the Company’s future cash requirements;
- general business and economic conditions;
- the Company’s ability to meet its financial obligations as they come due, and to be able to raise the necessary funds to continue operations;

- the Company's expectation that its respective joint venture partners will contribute the required expenditures, and make the required payments and share issuances (if applicable) as necessary to earn an interest in certain of the Company's mineral properties in accordance with existing option/joint venture agreements;
- the results of the Preliminary Economic Assessment as described under "Nevada Property – North Bullfrog Project - Preliminary Economic Assessment Results"
- the Company's expectation that it will be able to add additional mineral projects of merit to its assets; and
- the Company's expectation that it will be able to build itself into a non-operator gold producer with significant carried interests and royalty exposure.

Although the Company believes that such statements are reasonable, it can give no assurance that such expectations will prove to be correct. Inherent in forward-looking statements are risks and uncertainties beyond the Company's ability to predict or control, including, but not limited to, risks related to the Company's inability to identify one or more economic deposits on its properties, variations in the nature, quality and quantity of any mineral deposits that may be located, variations in the market price of any mineral products the Company may produce or plan to produce, the Company's inability to obtain any necessary permits, consents or authorizations required for its activities, to produce minerals from its properties successfully or profitably, to continue its projected growth, to raise the necessary capital or to be fully able to implement its business strategies, and other risks identified herein under "Risk Factors".

The Company cautions investors that any forward-looking statements by the Company are not guarantees of future performance, and that actual results are likely to differ, and may differ materially, from those expressed or implied by forward-looking statements contained in this MD&A. Such statements are based on a number of assumptions which may prove incorrect, including, but not limited to, assumptions about:

- general business and economic conditions;
- the timing of the receipt of regulatory and governmental approvals, permits and authorizations necessary to implement and carry on the Company's planned exploration programs and those of its joint venture partners (where applicable);
- conditions in the financial markets generally, and with respect to the prospects for junior gold exploration companies specifically;
- the Company's ability to secure the necessary consulting, drilling and related services and supplies on favourable terms;
- the Company's ability to attract and retain key staff;
- the accuracy of the Company's resource estimates (including with respect to size and grade) and the geological, operational and price assumptions on which these are based;
- the nature and location of the Company's mineral exploration projects, and the timing of the ability to commence and complete the planned exploration programs;
- the anticipated terms of the consents, permits and authorizations necessary to carry out the planned exploration programs and the Company's ability to comply with such terms on a cost-effective basis;

- the ongoing relations of the Company with its joint venture partners and regulators;
- that the metallurgy and recovery characteristics of samples from certain of the Company's mineral properties are reflective of the deposit as a whole; and
- the ability of the Company's joint venture partners to raise the funding required for them to satisfy the requirements to earn interests in the Company's properties, as applicable.

In addition, in carrying out the Preliminary Economic Assessment with respect to the North Bullfrog Project, as described under "Nevada Property – North Bullfrog Project - Preliminary Economic Assessment Results" a number of assumptions have been made, which are more particularly described in that section.

These forward looking statements are made as of the date hereof and the Company does not intend and does not assume any obligation, to update these forward-looking statements, except as required by applicable law. For the reasons set forth above, investors should not attribute undue certainty to or place undue reliance on forward-looking statements.

Historical results of operations and trends that may be inferred from the following discussion and analysis may not necessarily indicate future results from operations. In particular, the current state of the global securities markets may cause significant reductions in the price of the Company's securities and render it difficult or impossible for the Company to raise the funds necessary to continue operations. See "Risk Factors – Insufficient Financial Resources/Share Price Volatility".

Caution Regarding Adjacent or Similar Mineral Properties or Exploration and Evaluation Assets

This MD&A contains information with respect to adjacent or similar mineral properties in respect of which the Company has no interest or rights to explore or mine. The Company advises US investors that the mining guidelines of the US Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC") set forth in the SEC's Industry Guide 7 ("SEC Industry Guide 7") strictly prohibit information of this type in documents filed with the SEC. Readers are cautioned that the Company has no interest in or right to acquire any interest in any such properties, and that mineral deposits on adjacent or similar properties, and any production therefore or economics with respect thereto, are not indicative of mineral deposits on the Company's properties or the potential production from, or cost or economics of, any future mining of any of the Company's mineral properties or exploration and evaluation assets.

Cautionary Note to US Investors Concerning Reserve and Resource Estimates

National Instrument 43-101 Standards of Disclosure of Mineral Projects ("NI 43-101") is a rule developed by the Canadian Securities Administrators which establishes standards for all public disclosure an issuer makes of scientific and technical information concerning mineral projects. Unless otherwise indicated, all reserve and resource estimates contained in or incorporated by reference in this MD&A have been prepared in accordance with NI 43-101 and the guidelines set out in the Canadian Institute of Mining, Metallurgy and Petroleum (the "CIM") Standards on Mineral Resource and Mineral Reserves, adopted by the CIM Council on November 14, 2004 (the "CIM Standards") as they may be amended from time to time by the CIM.

United States investors are cautioned that the requirements and terminology of NI 43-101 and the CIM Standards differ significantly from the requirements and terminology set forth in SEC Industry Guide 7. Accordingly, the Company's disclosures regarding mineralization may not be comparable to similar information disclosed by companies subject to SEC Industry Guide 7. Without limiting the foregoing, while the terms "mineral resources", "inferred mineral resources", "indicated mineral resources" and "measured mineral resources" are recognized and required by NI 43-101 and the CIM Standards, they

are not recognized by the SEC and are not permitted to be used in documents filed with the SEC by companies subject to SEC Industry Guide 7. Mineral resources which are not mineral reserves do not have demonstrated economic viability, and US investors are cautioned not to assume that all or any part of a mineral resource will ever be converted into reserves. Further, inferred resources have a great amount of uncertainty as to their existence and as to whether they can be mined legally or economically. It cannot be assumed that all or any part of the inferred resources will ever be upgraded to a higher resource category. Under Canadian rules, estimates of inferred mineral resources may not form the basis of a feasibility study or pre-feasibility study, except in rare cases. The SEC normally only permits issuers to report mineralization that does not constitute SEC Industry Guide 7 compliant “reserves” as in-place tonnage and grade without reference to unit amounts. The term “contained ounces” is not permitted under the rules of SEC Industry Guide 7. In addition, the NI 43-101 and CIM Standards definition of a “reserve” differs from the definition in SEC Industry Guide 7. In SEC Industry Guide 7, a mineral reserve is defined as a part of a mineral deposit which could be economically and legally extracted or produced at the time the mineral reserve determination is made, and a “final” or “bankable” feasibility study is required to report reserves, the three-year historical price is used in any reserve or cash flow analysis of designated reserves and the primary environmental analysis or report must be filed with the appropriate governmental authority.

Accordingly, information contained in this MD&A contains descriptions of the Company’s mineral deposits that may not be comparable to similar information made public by U.S. companies subject to the reporting and disclosure requirements under the United States federal securities laws and the rules and regulations thereunder.

All of the Company's public disclosure filings, including its most recent material change reports, press releases and other information, may be accessed via www.sedar.com and readers are urged to review these materials, including the technical reports filed with respect to the Company’s mineral properties or exploration and evaluation assets.

Current Business Activities

General

Corvus holds four early stage projects in Alaska (Chisna, Terra, LMS and West Pogo) and one in Quebec (Gerfaut). In addition, Corvus has an advanced stage project at North Bullfrog in Nevada. The primary focus of the Company will be to leverage its exploration expertise to discover major new gold deposits. The Company’s strategy is to leverage its assets by utilizing partner funding during the high-cost, development phase of exploration to minimize shareholder financial risk while building a non-operator, gold production portfolio with significant carried interests and royalty exposure. To meet this objective, three of Corvus’ Alaskan projects are under joint venture agreements in which the joint venture partner provides 100% of the funding to reach the next major development milestone, with Corvus retaining attractive royalty and carried interest positions. The Company’s joint ventures in Alaska are operated through Raven Gold. The Company has retained 100% interest in the North Bullfrog project in Nevada (held through its Nevada subsidiary), which has a number of high-priority, bulk tonnage and high-grade vein targets. The Company also retains a 100% interest in the LMS projects and will continue to advance this. In addition, the Company has secured an option to earn a 80% interest in the Gerfaut project in Northern Quebec

Highlights of activities during the period and to the date of this MD&A include:

- North Bullfrog Exploration: Drilling commenced on the North Bullfrog Project near Beatty, Nevada, in January of 2012 and has continued to the date of this report. Step out exploration drilling around the margins of the deposit has indicated that mineralization continues to the west and north of existing drilling for hundreds of metres beyond the limits of the current resource. Diamond drilling to determine the orientation of higher grade structures in the

Yellow Jacket area has intersected the high grade mineralization and provided new insights into the mineralization controls.

- **North Bullfrog Metallurgy:** The results of initial metallurgical testing on oxide resource materials from North Bullfrog were reported during this period. Tests included large diameter column leach testing and bottle roll testing. The leach columns, which were testing coarse crush material (2 inch minus) from the Sierra Blanca and Jolly Jane areas (representing over 80% of the existing oxide resource), returned encouraging results. Average gold recovery for large diameter column tests for the Sierra Blanca area was 70% and 64% for the Jolly Jane area. These results support the Company's concept of using heap leach methods to recover the gold, which could significantly lower operating costs at the project.
- **North Bullfrog Preliminary Economic Assessment:** The current PEA is based on the Company's November 7, 2011 resource estimate. The study produced a robust positive economic analysis for a conceptual, low capex, heap leach project that generates an average annual gold production of 57,700 ounces over 12.8 years, at a life of mine strip ratio of 0.41 to 1 (overburden to process feed), indicating a pre-tax, pre-royalty NPV (5%) of \$119.2M, and an IRR of 28.8% at \$1,300 per ounce gold price. The PEA also shows the project has a considerable leverage to gold price, with a pre-tax, pre-royalty NPV (5%) of \$339M and an IRR of 70% at \$1,700 per ounce gold price. **The PEA is based on the North Bullfrog in-situ resource model (November, 2011) which consists of material in both the indicated and inferred classification. Inferred mineral resources are considered too speculative geologically to have technical and economic considerations applied to them. The current basis of project information is not sufficient to convert the in-situ mineral resources to Mineral Reserves, and mineral resources that are not mineral reserves do not have demonstrated economic viability.**
- **North Bullfrog Expanded Land Position:** The Company has increased its overall land holding at North Bullfrog to 50 km² by staking 312 Federal mining claims. The new staking covers potential extensions of the North Bullfrog gold system as well as ground that will be needed for potential future mining operations.
- **Terra:** Terra Gold Corporation ("Terra Gold") (the Alaska subsidiary of WestMountain Index Advisor, Inc. (formerly "Terra Mining Corporation")) has made the payments necessary to hold the property for 2012 and has informed the Company of plans to conduct underground mining, surface drilling and begin operation of the pilot scale mill and gold recovery circuit at Terra during the summer of 2012 but have not finalized the budget for that program. Terra Gold is the operator and is responsible for all work at the Terra project.
- **LMS:** The results from the drilling undertaken by First Star Resources Inc. ("First Star") in 2011 prior to returning the property 100% to the Company have been finalized. The First Star drilling has confirmed at least 800 metres of down-dip continuity on the Camp Breccia, which is an extensive stratiform black breccia body which dips gently to the west from the 300 metre long surface outcrops.
- **West Pogo:** The Company has optioned its West Pogo project in Alaska to Alix Resources Corp ("Alix"). Alix must complete USD 5M in work on the project and pay \$125,000 to Corvus over 5 years to earn a 60% interest in the project. In addition, Corvus has reserved a 2-3% NSR royalty on the project with Alix having the right to purchase 1% for USD 1M. Alix has adjoining ground to the north of Corvus and has advised that they will be drilling targets on their ground as well as the Corvus block this year. Alix is the operator and is responsible for all work on the West Pogo project.

- Chisna: Ocean Park Alaska Corp. (a subsidiary of Ocean Park Ventures Corp.) (“OCP Alaska”) has made the payments and share issuances necessary to hold the property for 2012. The Company is awaiting their proposal for the 2012 exploration program. OCP Alaska is the operator and is responsible for all work on the Chisna project.
- Gerfaut: The Company has signed an agreement with Les Ressources Tectonic Inc. (“LRT”) dated February 5, 2012 to acquire an initial 80% interest in the Gerfaut Property in Northern Quebec. The property is located along a major shear zone which the Company believes has focused gold mineralization within favorable host units. The mineralizing environment at Gerfaut has similarities to more classic Abitibi type gold systems and represents what could be an emerging new gold terrain in eastern Canada. In 1996 – when gold prices averaged \$387/oz - two holes were drilled on the property by Falconbridge during their large scale nickel exploration program. Both holes encountered encouraging gold mineralization with the best intercept being 5.8 metres of 4.3 g/t gold. Other parts of the mineralized trend contain attractive copper mineralization in surface rock samples.

Nevada Property

North Bullfrog Property

General

The North Bullfrog Project is controlled 100% by the Company and covers approximately 50 square kilometres of United States federal unpatented and leased patented claims. The North Bullfrog Project targets low-sulphidation epithermal-style gold mineralization of a style similar to that at the Bullfrog mine operated by Barrick Gold Corporation until 1998 and located 8 kilometres to the south.

The project currently includes numerous prospective gold targets with four (Mayflower, Sierra Blanca, Jolly Jane and Connection) containing an NI 43-101 estimated Indicated Resource of 24 Mt at an average grade of 0.29 g/t gold for 224,400 ounces of gold and an Inferred Resource of 468 Mt at 0.19 g/t gold for 2,835,000 ounces of gold (both at a 0.1 g/t cutoff), with appreciable silver credits.

Mineralization occurs in two primary forms: (1) broad stratabound bulk-tonnage gold zones such as the Sierra Blanca and Jolly Jane systems; and (2) moderately thick zones of high-grade gold and silver mineralization hosted by structural zones with breccias and quartz-sulphide vein stockworks such as the Mayflower and Yellowjacket targets. The Company is actively pursuing both types of mineralization.

2012 Drilling Results

The reverse circulation (“RC”) phase of the winter 2012 drilling program included a total of 1,700 metres in 9 holes. The main focus of the RC program has been to assess the overall resource footprint size to better design a +30,000 metres - 300 hole, phase 2 RC program which is currently being permitted and scheduled to begin later in 2012. The results at Jolly Jane and Sierra Blanca indicate that the potential resource footprint may be larger than currently estimated and filling in between the existing resource and these new step out locations will become a priority. In South Savage Valley the Crater Flat Tuff section in holes NB-12-120 and 121 appears to have been cut out by late dacite intrusions and additional work will be required to evaluate the distribution of mineralization there.

The winter diamond drilling program began on the 2nd of February with the primary objective of testing higher grade feeder structures in the Yellowjacket target area in the Northeastern portion of the project which has returned 11.9 g/t gold over 6.1 metres. Oriented core is being drilled to better understand the trend of this important high-grade target. In addition, large diameter core will be drilled in the key areas of the deposit so additional metallurgical testing can be conducted for use in a project development decision planned for later this year.

Five holes drilled to confirm the continuity of mineralization hundreds of metres to the north of the current estimated resource area have all come back with significant gold mineralization. Hole NB-12-118 encountered 46 metres of 0.6 g/t gold in a 250 meter step out to the north on Sierra Blanca and hole NB-12-119 encountered over 80 metres of mineralization in a number of intervals (Figure 1, Table 1). At the same time, hole NB-12-123 encountered 139 meters of 0.3g/t gold in a 400 metre step out to the north on Jolly Jane (Figure 1). Hole NB-12-117 at Air Track West encountered 15 metres of 2.4 g/t gold in a 400 metre step out to the west from the existing estimated resource. Results from the 2012 exploration drill program are not included in the current preliminary economic assessment (PEA).

Table 1: Significant Intercepts from January 2012 RC drilling program at North Bullfrog*

Hole ID	From (m)	To (m)	Interval (m)	Au (g/t)	Ag (g/t)	Note
NB-12-117	9.1	33.5	24.4	1.55	4.31	Air Track West
	10.7	25.9	15.2	2.37	5.23	
	39.6	61.0	21.3	0.16	0.46	
NB-12-118	97.5	143.3	45.7	0.56	0.98	North Sierra Blanca
<i>including</i>	<i>102.1</i>	<i>123.4</i>	<i>21.3</i>	<i>0.88</i>	<i>1.43</i>	
	192.0	213.4	21.3	0.15	0.31	Ended in Mineralization
NB-12-119	0.0	18.3	18.3	0.20	0.27	North Sierra Blanca
	97.5	128.0	30.5	0.32	1.05	
	134.1	150.9	16.8	0.58	2.58	
						Ended in Mineralization
	158.5	182.9	24.4	0.59	2.13	
<i>including</i>	<i>166.1</i>	<i>182.9</i>	<i>16.8</i>	<i>0.70</i>	<i>2.61</i>	
NB-12-120	No Significant Intercepts					South Savage Valley
NB-12-121	No Significant Intercepts					South Savage Valley
NB-12-122	88.4	180.1	91.7	0.20	0.87	North Jolly Jane
<i>including</i>	<i>123.4</i>	<i>150.9</i>	<i>27.4</i>	<i>0.29</i>	<i>1.25</i>	
NB-12-123	36.6	175.3	138.7	0.27	0.95	North Jolly Jane
<i>including</i>	<i>67.1</i>	<i>99.1</i>	<i>32.0</i>	<i>0.50</i>	<i>1.49</i>	
NB-12-124	97.5	137.2	39.6	0.24	0.50	Sierra Blanca
	169.2	205.7	36.6	0.27	0.62	
	<i>including</i>	<i>187.4</i>	<i>201.2</i>	<i>13.7</i>	<i>0.50</i>	<i>0.60</i>
NB-12-125	25.9	80.8	54.9	0.21	0.64	Sierra Blanca
	<i>including</i>	<i>25.9</i>	<i>39.6</i>	<i>13.7</i>	<i>0.38</i>	<i>1.10</i>
	155.4	192.0	36.6	0.16	0.55	

**Intercepts calculated using 0.1g/t cutoff with a maximum of 3 metres of internal dilution. Reported drill intercepts are not true widths. At this time, there is insufficient data with respect to the shape of the mineralization to calculate its true orientation in space.*

Selected intervals from the diamond drill holes at Yellowjacket were selected for rush analysis and the assays have been returned confirming the continuity of higher grade mineralization over 320 metres in this NS corridor (Table 2, Figure 2). The discovery of the Yellow Jacket feeder zone is very significant for the North Bullfrog project and Corvus in general as it adds an entire new dimension to the deposit and may affect its potential value going forward.

The Yellow Jacket target is in the northern part of the district currently outside existing pit perimeters utilized in the PEA. The phase I diamond drilling program included three holes producing oriented core to evaluate the orientation and continuity of the mineralization. The mineralization is related to the north-south trending Yellow Jacket and Liberator fault zone. The new core holes indicate that the higher-grade mineralization is closely related to the intersection of the fault zone and favourable

relatively iron-rich lithologies in the dacitic sequence overlying the Crater Flat tuff. The recognition of this combination of structural and stratigraphic control has important implications for other areas on the property where the dacitic rocks are found. Additional targets at Savage Valley and Jolly Jane are being evaluated at this time using that concept.




Figure 1: Corvus land position at North Bullfrog showing significant areas of mineralization. Collar locations for the winter drilling program are shown in red. All 2012 holes were vertical with the exception of NB-12-125 which has an azimuth of 270 and inclination of 52 degrees.

Table 2: Initial Significant Yellow Jacket Diamond Drill Results

Hole ID	From (m)	To (m)	Interval (m)	Au (g/t)	Ag (g/t)
NB-12-126	173.1	184.5	11.4	4.02	6.97
<i>Including</i>	177.7	183.4	5.7	6.56	9.94
NB-12-126	206.6	321.0	114.4	0.33	1.85
<i>Including</i>	208.5	224.9	16.5	0.42	0.56
<i>Including</i>	267.6	316.4	48.8	0.37	2.26
NB-12-127	105.3	155.1	49.8	1.01	pending
<i>Including</i>	134.0	147.5	13.5	2.18	pending
NB-12-128	168.8	170.4	1.7	2.94	pending




Figure 2: Yellow Jacket Ridge and the location of new holes testing the higher grade mineralization. Topographic contour interval is 2 metres.

Metallurgical Testing

The results of initial large diameter column leach testing of oxide materials from the North Bullfrog Project were reported during this period. The leach columns, which were testing coarse crush material (2 inch minus) from the Sierra Blanca and Jolly Jane areas (representing over 80% of the existing estimated oxide resource), returned encouraging results. These results support the Company's concept of using heap leach methods to recover the gold which could significantly lower operating costs at the project. Average gold recovery for large diameter column tests for the Sierra Blanca area was 70% and 64% for the Jolly Jane area, which support an overall projected Run of Mine recovery of 65% (Table 3).

Table 3 Initial Column Leach Test Results, North Bullfrog Project
Bulk Samples of Oxide Materials from the Sierra Blanca and Jolly Jane Resource Areas

Test No.*	Feed Size	Leach /Rinse Time (days)	Avg. Head Assay (g/t)	Au Rec. (% Calc. Head Grade)	Au Extracted (g/t)	Au Tail Assay **(g/t)	Calc. Head Grade (g/t)	Au Rec. (% Calc. Head Grade)
SB P-1	80% -50mm	117	0.278	70.3	0.204	0.0860	0.290	70.3
SB P-2	80% -50mm	117	0.278	69.9	0.200	0.0860	0.286	69.9
JJ P-3	80% - 50mm	117	0.314	63.4	0.208	0.120	0.328	63.4
JJ P-4	80% -50mm	117	0.314	64.0	0.217	0.122	0.339	64.0

* SB – Sierra Blanca resource area; JJ – Jolly Jane resource area

** direct assay of total tail; tail assays by screen size are pending

Oxide Resource Testing

The results reported are from tests in 12 inch diameter column leach tests, with 80% of the particles less than 50mm, were developed from bulk sampling of outcrops and existing mining excavations in the oxide portions of the Sierra Blanca and Jolly Jane estimated resource areas. Sierra Blanca accounts for 60% of the Indicated and Inferred estimated oxide resource, with Jolly Jane accounting for an additional 24% of the Indicated and Inferred estimated oxide resource, as reported in the Company's NI 43-101 Technical Report dated November 7, 2011. The tests achieved 60-69% gold recovery in the first 90 days of cyanide leaching, and leaching was stopped after 117 days, an active leach time typical in heap leach mining operations. McClelland Laboratories, Inc. of Reno, Nevada constructed the test columns from bulk samples collected at North Bullfrog by the Company and conducted the leaching tests, whose results are listed in Table 3.

The column leach testing has focused on two of several targets in the North Bullfrog District, all of which remain open with potential to grow significantly (Figure 3). Earlier cyanide bottle roll testing of oxide material, reported in NR11-20 (October 3, 2011) from the other resource areas (Connection and Mayflower) indicated similar metallurgical recovery. Ongoing metallurgical test work is focusing on confirmation of the Table 3 column leach data (at 80% -50 mm particle size) by conducting column tests on 80% - 12.5 mm material.




Figure 3: Corvus land position at North Bullfrog showing approximate areas where estimated resources have been calculated. Drill collar locations are shown for reference.

In addition to the column leaching tests, further bottle roll testing of North Bullfrog oxide resource materials have been conducted for confirmation of earlier metallurgical testing and to develop the basis to evaluate leach recovery versus particle size. Those cyanide bottle roll tests were performed for particle sizes of -1.7 mm and -0.075 mm, and are listed in Table 4. The tests focus on oxide and mixed oxide/sulphide materials from the Sierra Blanca resource area (Savage Valley area is a subset of Sierra Blanca) and the Jolly Jane estimated resource area. Additional metallurgical testing will be an integral part of the next phase of drilling at North Bullfrog drilling to further confirm and enhance the recovery model.

Table 4: Summary of Phase II - 72 Hour Cyanide Bottle Roll Recovery Tests for North Bullfrog Project

Test ID	Target Area	Calc Head Grade Au (g/t)	Oxidation	Feed Size	Total Au Recovery*
SB Bulk 1a	Sierra Blanca	0.27	Oxide	80%-1.7mm	88.20%
SB Bulk 1b	Sierra Blanca	0.27	Oxide	80%-1.7mm	82.1%
SB Bulk 1c	Sierra Blanca	0.27	Oxide	80%-75um	85.90%
NBMC-4	Sierra Blanca	0.46	Oxide	80%-1.7mm	88.10%
NBMC-4	Sierra Blanca	0.46	Oxide	80%-75um	88.20%
NBMC-5	Sierra Blanca	0.36	Oxide	70%-1.7mm	83.80%
NBMC-5	Sierra Blanca	0.36	Oxide	80%-75um	92.10%
NBMC-6	Sierra Blanca	0.19	Oxide	79%-1.7mm	52.60%
NBMC-6	Sierra Blanca	0.19	Oxide	80%-75um	86.70%
NBMC-7	Sierra Blanca	0.33	Mixed Ox/Sulf	20%-1.7mm	68.00%
NBMC-7	Sierra Blanca	0.33	Mixed Ox/Sulf	80%-75um	71.00%
NBMC-8	Sierra Blanca	0.37	Mixed Ox/Sulf	85%-1.7mm	64.50%
NBMC-8	Sierra Blanca	0.37	Mixed Ox/Sulf	80%-75um	75.10%
NBMC-9	Savage Valley	0.56	Oxide	49%-1.7mm	63.80%
NBMC-9	Savage Valley	0.56	Oxide	80%-75um	91.20%
NBMC-10	Savage Valley	0.39	Oxide	70%-1.7mm	87.10%
NBMC-10	Savage Valley	0.39	Oxide	80%-75um	85.50%
NBMC-11	Savage Valley	0.17	Oxide	64%-1.7mm	76.50%
NBMC-11	Savage Valley	0.17	Oxide	80%-75um	90.70%
NBMC-12	Savage Valley	0.4	Mixed Ox/Sulf	74%-1.7mm	73.50%
NBMC-12	Savage Valley	0.4	Mixed Ox/Sulf	80%-75um	72.30%
NBMC-13	Savage Valley	0.2	Mixed Ox/Sulf	46%-1.7mm	66.70%
NBMC-13	Savage Valley	0.2	Mixed Ox/Sulf	80%-75um	81.90%
JJ Bulk 1a	Jolly Jane	0.33	Oxide	80%-1.7mm	72.70%
JJ Bulk 1b	Jolly Jane	0.33	Oxide	80%-1.7mm	76.60%
JJ Bulk 1c	Jolly Jane	0.33	Oxide	80%-75um	80.00%
NBMC-1	Jolly Jane	0.35	Oxide	79%-1.7mm	64.30%
NBMC-1	Jolly Jane	0.35	Oxide	80%-75um	80.00%
NBMC-2	Jolly Jane	0.18	Oxide	65%-1.7mm	66.70%
NBMC-2	Jolly Jane	0.18	Oxide	80%-75um	76.80%

* The total recovery indicates the total amount of gold that was dissolved in 72 hours.

Preliminary Economic Assessment Results

On February 28, 2012, the Company announced the results of an independently prepared Preliminary Economic Assessment (“PEA”) for its North Bullfrog Project in Nevada. This PEA is based on the Company's November 7, 2011 resource estimate and does not include recent success from the 2012 exploration program (see above). The study produced a robust positive economic analysis for a conceptual, low capex, heap leach project that generates an average annual gold production of 57,700 ounces over 12.8 years, at a life of mine strip ratio of 0.41 to 1 (overburden to process feed), indicating a pre-tax, pre-royalty NPV (5%) of \$119.2M, and an IRR of 28.8% at \$1,300 per ounce gold price (Table 5). The PEA also shows the project has a considerable leverage to gold price, with a pre-tax, pre-royalty NPV (5%) of \$339M and an IRR of 70% at \$1,700 per ounce gold price (Table 6).

PEA Description

The PEA assumes conventional drill and blast, surface mining using haul trucks and front end loaders. Mineralized material would be delivered to a crushing plant, where it would be crushed to 80% passing minus 19 mm (¾ inch), then transported and stacked on a heap leach pad by conveyor. Cyanide solution would be used to dissolve the gold and would be processed through a standard carbon-in-column leach plant, with a gold doré produced in an on-site refinery. Physical data for the mine operation are summarized in Table 7.

Table 5: North Bullfrog Project - Heap Leach PEA Summary
(All values in 2012 USD based on a \$1,300 Whittle shell, mining recoverable in-pit resources above 0.1 g/t (0.003 oz/ton) gold cut off grade)

Parameter	Summary Data
In-pit resource – Indicated	19.5 M tonnes @0.32 g/t for 199 k Oz Au contained
In-pit resource – Inferred	123.3 M tonnes @0.23 g/t for 890 k Oz Au contained
NPV(5%) ; IRR at USD 1,300 per Au Oz	USD 119.2M; 28.8%
Overall Strip Ratio	1 to 0.41 (mined mineral resource to overburden)
Average Annual Gold Production	57.7 k Oz/year
Average Gold Recovery	69%
Average Total Mining Rate	44 k tonne/day
Average Mineralized Material Mining Rate	31 k tonne/day

Table 6: Base Case Gold Price Sensitivity Analysis – North Bullfrog Project
(all values in constant 2012 US\$)

Gold Price (\$/Oz)	NPV_{5%} (\$M)	NPV_{7.5%} (\$M)	IRR (%)	Payback (yrs)
\$1200	\$64	\$46	18.3%	7.3
\$1300	\$119	\$94	28.8%	2.6
\$1400	\$174	\$142	39.2%	2.0
\$1500	\$229	\$190	49.4%	1.7
\$1600	\$284	\$238	59.6%	1.4
\$1700	\$339	\$286	69.7%	1.2

Table 7: PEA Key Physical Data – North Bullfrog Heap Leach Project

Key Physical Data	Units	Value
Process Feed Mined	M tonnes	142.7
Overburden Mined	M tonnes	58.5
Total Material Mined	M tonnes	201.2
Mine Life	Years	12.8
Contained Gold	M Oz	1.09
Recovered Gold	M Oz	0.75
Average Strip Ratio	Overburden/Process Feed	0.41
Average Gold Grade	g/t	0.237
Average Gold Recovery	%	68.6
Annual Process Feed Mined	M tonnes/yr	11
Annual Gold Produced	K Oz/yr	57.7

Estimated initial capital costs are listed in Table 8, which excludes the estimate of working capital, which is recovered at the end of the mine life and sustaining capital. The PEA initial capital cost estimate includes \$12.5 M contingency, or 25% of applicable items. Life of mine sustaining capital is estimated to be \$89.2 M, and additional LOM contingency is estimated to be \$15.4 M.

Table 8: PEA Initial Capital Estimate– North Bullfrog Heap Leach Project

<u>Capital Area</u>	<u>Estimated Capital Cost (USD \$M)</u>
Direct Capital Cost	\$42.2
Indirect Costs	\$9.1
Owner's Cost	\$5.0
Contingency	\$12.5
Total*	\$68.8

*-excludes working capital and sustaining capital

Operating costs included in the PEA were based on mining, processing, administration and reclamation, and are listed in Table 9, where they are normalized to process tonnage and recovered gold ounces. Cash operating costs were projected to average \$673/oz for the first 3 years of mining, with total costs averaging \$817/oz during this period. Total LOM cash operating costs are projected to be \$815/oz and LOM capital cost was estimated to be an additional \$232/oz.

Table 9: Operating Costs– North Bullfrog Heap Leach Project

<u>Cost</u>	<u>Cost per Process tonne (\$/tonne)</u>	<u>Cost/Recovered Gold Oz (\$/oz)</u>
Mining	\$1.97	\$377
Processing	\$1.67	\$320
Administration	\$0.50	\$ 95
Reclamation	\$0.12	\$23
Total Operating Cost	\$4.27	\$815

The PEA utilized preliminary estimates of heap leach recovery, assuming a nominal recovery of 68.6% of fire assay grade, based on recently completed column leach tests and more extensive bottle roll test data at different particle sizes.

The Company cautions that this PEA is preliminary in nature, and is based on technical and economic assumptions which will be evaluated in more advanced studies. The PEA is based on the North Bullfrog in-situ resource model (November, 2011) which consists of material in both the indicated and inferred classification. Inferred mineral resources are considered too speculative geologically to have technical and economic considerations applied to them. The current basis of project information is not sufficient to convert the in-situ mineral resources to Mineral Reserves, and mineral resources that are not mineral reserves do not have demonstrated economic viability. Accordingly, there can be no certainty that the results estimated in this PEA will be realized. The PEA results are only intended as an initial, first-pass review of the potential project economics based on preliminary information.

This initial stage PEA does not include any of the additional geologic data produced in the current drilling program, which began in January, 2012.

Cash Flow Model Inputs and Assumptions

Resources - The analysis included both indicated and inferred resources in the mining and economic study. Indicated resources make up approximately 18% of the ounces in the production plan.

Mining Method - A standard surface mine using a drill, blast, load and haul mining plan was used for the study, assuming a 50 degree slope. The mine volume was defined by Lerchs-Grossman optimization methods and the resulting surfaces at \$1,300/ounce gold price were used to schedule production. No detail design has been performed at this stage. The assumed nominal mining rate was 31,000 tonnes (34,100 tons) of mineralized material per day.

Processing Method - A heap leach design, operated at a placement rate of 31,000 tonnes (34,100 tons) of mineralized material per day was assumed for the PEA. Heap leach feed would be crushed to a P80 of minus 19 mm (-3/4 inch) and conveyed and stacked on the pad. A CIC process plant would recover the gold. The process plant was assumed to have a nominal throughput of 40,000 litres (10,500 gallons) of leachate per minute.

Gold Recovery Model - Process recoveries were estimated based on the results of 305 mm (12 inches) diameter column leach tests performed on bulk sample materials crushed to 80% passing minus 51 mm (-2 inch). Size versus leach recovery curves were developed from the column leach testing and bottle roll tests. The leach recoveries consider both of the bulk samples used in the column tests and were also adjusted to consider spatial variability as indicated by bottle roll data developed from drill samples throughout the resources. The LOM average recovery was 68.6 % of fire assay results.

Operating and Capital Cost Estimates - Preliminary capital and operating costs were developed using information available from other Nevada heap leach operations, a commercially available mining and development cost database, plus all available project technical data and metallurgical/process related test work. Preliminary site infrastructure alternatives (heap leach pad, overburden storage facility, roads, shops, offices etc.) have been evaluated and an arrangement was defined as the basis of capital cost estimates. Capital costs were developed based on a nominal mining rate of 31,000 tonnes (34,100 tons) of mineralized material per day (nominal total material mined per day of 44,000 tonnes (48,000 tons), processing a total of 143M tonnes, and includes sustaining capital and all facilities and equipment needed for all phases of the project over its projected 12.8 year life. Major fixed equipment and all mobile equipment was assumed to be financed over the first 6 years of production. All costs are in constant USD from Q1 2012. No escalation was applied in the financial model.

Taxes and Royalties - Taxes and royalty charges were excluded from this preliminary analysis of the project. Net smelter return royalty rates vary from 0-4% across the project and average approximately 0.7%, assuming exercise by the Company of all available royalty buy-out rights.

Revenue - Revenue was determined in the base case financial model assuming a constant, long term gold price of \$1,300 per ounce. All sensitivities to gold price assumptions were assessed using a constant price.

Expanded Property Position

The Company has added approximately 25 km² to its North Bullfrog land package by staking 312 Federal mining claims bringing the land package to approximately 50 km² (Figure 4). The new staking covers potential extensions of the North Bullfrog gold system as well as ground that will be needed for potential future mining operations.




Figure 4: Corvus expanded land position at North Bullfrog showing areas where resources have been defined.

Alaska Properties

Terra Project Option-Joint Venture

Raven Gold signed a joint venture agreement in 2010 with Terra Gold (a wholly owned Alaska subsidiary of Terra Mining Corporation) with respect to the Terra project. Terra Mining Corporation was subsequently acquired by WestMountain Index Advisor, Inc. (“WestMountain”) in February 2011. With the acquisition, WestMountain has acquired, through its indirect ownership of Terra Gold, the right to earn a 51% interest in the Terra Project from Raven Gold by spending a total of US\$6.0 million. Terra Gold can further increase its ownership to 80% with a US\$9.5 million capital investment over a four-year period.

The camp at Terra opened in June, 2011 and closed on the 20th of September. The milling equipment required for processing the bulk sample was transported to the project site over the course of the summer. The footings and foundations required for the installation were completed. The Company has been advised by Terra Gold that it is anticipated that the mill will be fully installed for operation in

2012. An excavator was brought to the project site and excavation of the vein was initiated. A total of 128 tonnes of vein material has been transported from the outcrop to the mill site for processing in 2012. Four diamond drill holes were completed over the course of the summer. These holes tested the northern strike extension of the vein on two fences, 100 and 200 metres north of the last known vein intersections (Figure 5). Three holes encountered the Ben vein at the projected location providing confirmation of at least 500 metres of strike length in the main vein structure. The holes also encountered a second vein zone that appears to be continuous in the four holes. Assay results are summarized in Table 10.

Table 10: Terra Project Summer 2011 Drill Results
(Intervals calculated using a 1 g/t gold cutoff grade, Including uses a 5 g/t gold cutoff grade)

Drill Hole	From	To	Interval	Au	Ag	Vein Zone
WGC-11-33	35.29	35.44	0.15	31.8	15.2	HW -3
	76.69	77.19	0.5	5.73	3.3	HW -2
<i>Including</i>	76.69	76.89	0.2	12.05	6.3	HW-2
	104.84	105.97	1.13	2.23	4.09	HW-1
	139.06	139.9	0.84	7.42	69.39	Ben Vein- 100m step out
<i>Including</i>	139.59	139.9	0.31	15.6	138	Ben Vein
WGC-11-34	21.3	21.6	0.3	8.01	68.5	HW-3 lost hole at 67m
WGC-11-34B	111.04	111.4	0.36	35.7	174	HW - 2
	115.4	117.95	2.55	6.12	28.75	HW - 1
	120	125.6	5.6	8.15	69.69	Ben Vein - 100m step out
<i>Including</i>	121.6	125	3.4	11.6	106.5	Ben Vein
WGC-11-35	114	114.53	0.53	25.6	4.9	Ben Vein - 200m step out

* Reported drill intercepts are not true widths. At this time, there is insufficient data with respect to the shape of the mineralization to calculate its true orientation in space.




Figure 5: Map showing the location of new Terra drill holes.

In the 3rd Quarter of 2011 West Mountain made the payments necessary to meet their obligations under the Joint Venture and has informed the Company that they intend to move underground mining equipment onto the project site in the summer of 2012. However, no detailed budget for 2012 has been submitted for review.

LMS Project

The LMS claim block is located in the Goodpaster mining district and consists of 92 Alaska mining claims covering 61 square kilometres owned 100% by the Company. The primary target at LMS is a stratiform breccia horizon hosted in a sequence of high-grade metamorphic rocks. The host breccia has formed in an interval of highly fractured graphitic quartzite which has focused fluid flow of mineralized solutions. The matrix to the breccias is a dark fine-grained mixture of silica and pyrite, which together with the graphite, leads to the term “black breccia”. In addition to the stratiform black breccia mineralization there are a number of high-grade gold-silver veins and stockwork zones cutting through the entire system which can produce spectacular grades. Initial metallurgical test work on the project indicated high gold recoveries (95%) can be obtained with simple gravity separation followed by cyanidation, similar to the process used at the Pogo Mine to the north.

The results from the drilling undertaken by First Star in 2011 prior to returning the property 100% to the Company have been finalized. The First Star drilling has confirmed at least 800 metres of down-dip continuity on the Camp Breccia, which is an extensive stratiform black breccia body which dips gently to the west from the 300 metre long surface outcrops. LMS has features in common with other Tintina Gold Belt deposits including the Kinross Gold owned White Gold property in the Yukon where stratiform breccias are an important control and the Pogo Mine operated by Sumitomo Metal Mining Pogo LLC which is characterized by vein mineralization a low angle shear structure. The Camp target is one of several surface gold targets on the LMS property which the Company will be exploring this year.

Key intervals from the First Star drilling are (Table 11):

- Hole LM-10-38 which hit multiple higher grade zones including 2.9 metres of 12.5 g/t gold in the black breccia.
- Hole LM-10-39 which intercepted 13.4 metres of 2.5 g/t gold and 12.4 g/t silver in the black breccia.
- Hole LM-10-40 which intercepted 23.3 metres at 5.2 g/t gold and 11.9 g/t silver in the black breccias and feeder vein system
- Hole LM-11-42 which intercepted 1.8 metres at 2.7 g/t gold and 17.7 g/t silver in the black breccia
- Hole LM-11-43 which intercepted 1.8 metres at 2.7 g/t gold and 41.7 g/t silver in the black breccia

These results continue to expand on earlier drilling by Corvus predecessor International Tower Hill Mines Ltd. (September 5, 2006 News Release), which intersected fifteen +10 g/t gold intercepts hosted in the black breccia, including 0.8 metres of 1540 g/t gold in hole LM-06-29 (the fifteen intercepts range in gold grade from 1540 g/t to 10.0 g/t and in width from 0.3 to 5.1 metres). The First Star drilling has significantly expanded the gold bearing, black breccia horizon in the Camp target to nearly a kilometre down dip and 200 to 400 metres in width with the zone remaining open (Figure 6). Gold within the host horizon appears structurally controlled and related to a large through going shear zone with similarities to the structural controls on the shallowly dipping ore zones of the Pogo Mine, 40 kilometres to the north. Recognition of this district-scale structural zone highlights the potential of a number of other targets on the LMS property package which have yet to be tested and which Corvus anticipates will be explored in the 2012 exploration program which is currently in the process of being designed.

Table 11: Significant Intercepts* from holes drilled at by First Star Resources Inc.
(reported widths are approximate true widths)

Hole ID	From (metres)	To (metres)	Interval (metres)	Gold (g/t)	Silver (g/t)	Host Zone
LM-10-37**	158.5	179.5	21.0	0.7	6.2	Black Breccia
LM-10-37**	195.1	197.5	2.4	0.7	25.3	Black Breccia
LM-10-38**	161.5	164.3	2.8	4.7	4.6	
LM-10-38**	172.5	181.7	9.1	1.6	15.4	Black Breccia
<i>including</i>	179.5	181.7	2.1	4.8	6.0	Black Breccia
LM-10-38**	198.9	201.8	2.9	12.5	6.0	Black Breccia
LM-10-38**	324.3	325.8	1.5	4.6	2.3	
LM-10-39**	221.6	224.8	3.2	3.5	6.0	
<i>including</i>	221.6	222.8	1.2	7.8	3.6	
LM-10-39**	227.2	240.6	13.4	2.5	12.4	Black Breccia
<i>including</i>	233.6	238.1	4.4	4.9	19.0	Black Breccia
LM-10-39**	267.2	268.7	1.5	1.6	65.0	
LM-10-39**	271.3	274.8	3.5	12.1	2.0	Veins
LM-11-40**	192.3	215.6	23.3	5.2	11.9	
<i>including</i>	194.5	203.5	9.0	0.9	4.7	Black Breccia
<i>including</i>	204.0	215.6	11.7	9.6	19.3	Veins
<i>including</i>	211.2	215.6	4.4	23.8	33.5	Veins
LM-11-41	193.2	195.1	1.9	1.7	3.0	
Hole Lost at 230m Above Breccia Target Zone						
LM-11-42	99.4	101.2	1.8	2.7	17.7	Black Breccia
LM-11-43	171.8	172.8	1.1	1.0	116.3	
LM-11-43	336.6	339.5	2.9	1.8	28.4	Black Breccia
<i>including</i>	337.7	339.5	1.8	2.7	41.7	Black Breccia
LM-11-44	73.9	77.7	3.8	1.2	0.5	
LM-11-44	362.4	367.9	5.5	0.9	29.9	Black Breccia
<i>including</i>	362.4	365.1	2.7	1.3	44.8	Black Breccia
<i>LM-11-45</i>	No Significant Intercepts					
LM-11-46	288.6	290.9	2.3	0.4	17.9	Black Breccia
LM-11-47	289.0	291.7	2.7	0.8	16.5	Black Breccia

*Intercepts calculated using 0.2 g/t Gold cutoff and a maximum of 2 metres of internal waste.

** Previously reported using a 0.5g/t cutoff.




Figure 6: Map showing the locations of new core holes drilled at LMS in 2011. These holes represent a significant expansion of the area of mineralization and confirm the structural continuity of the deposit.

West Pogo Project Option-Joint Venture

The West Pogo prospect is located in the Goodpaster mining district, Alaska, and consists of 96 State of Alaska mining claims covering 18.9 square kilometres owned 100% by the Company. The West Pogo project is located approximately 5 kilometres to the west of the Pogo Gold Mine. The Pogo Mine road and power line pass through the West Pogo Property providing easy access to the property. At West Pogo there is the potential to discover high-grade gold mineralization in both steeply and shallowly dipping structural zones. Surface mapping and sampling in 2011 has identified two >1 kilometre long East-West trending zones of alteration and mineralization on the property. Mineralization is associated with zones of sericite-dolomite alteration in the host quartz monzonite and with silica-flooded breccias which have produced selected grab samples with up to 118.5g/t gold. One N-S oriented hole drilled in 2003 encountered broad zones of gold mineralization in altered quartz monzonites but did not intersect the breccia-style mineralization. In 2011 a 3D induced polarization survey covering 5km² over the main alteration zones highlighted a series of NW-trending cross structures which may be the control on the high-grade mineralization and may explain why the original drilling missed the target. Exploration at West Pogo has always been hampered by the distribution of talus cover; however, systematic work has revealed a large mineralizing system of good lateral continuity that is ready for drill testing.

The Company has optioned its West Pogo project in Alaska to Alix. Alix must complete USD 5M in work on the project and pay \$125,000 to Corvus over 5 years to earn a 60% interest in the project. In addition Corvus has reserved a 2-3% NSR royalty on the project with Alix having the right to purchase 1% for USD 1M. If the Company's interest is diluted below 10% such interest will be converted to an additional 1% NSR. Alix has adjoining ground to the north of Corvus and has advised that it intends to drill targets on their ground as well as the Corvus block this year. The target concept for the 2012 program is for a low and high angle, high-grade vein systems similar to the Pogo deposit 5 kilometres to the east.

Chisna Project – Option-Joint Venture with Ocean Park Ventures Corp. (“Ocean Park” or “OPV”)

The Chisna Project is focused on a new and emerging Alaskan copper-gold porphyry belt of deposits with copper and gold mineralization associated with mid-Cretaceous intrusions of similar age and style to the Pebble deposit to the west and Orange Hill deposit to the east (Figure 7). At present, the Raven Gold/Ocean Park US joint venture controls over 232,000 acres of either State of Alaska mining claims or land leased from Ahtna Corporation.

Regional exploration, including geophysics, stream sediment surveys, soil surveys and geological mapping, has identified a number of mineralized areas within the district (Figure 8). Geochronology studies indicate that the Grubstake porphyry system was active over a long period of time. Intrusions that are related to the mineralization and molybdenite from quartz veins in the porphyry mineralization give ages of 126Ma. In contrast, actinolite related to sodic-calcic alteration that overprints the porphyry copper mineralization give ages of 110Ma. Potassium feldspar from hydrothermal breccias at the Ravine prospect gave an age of 94Ma. Hornblende from a nearby porphyry gave an age of 110Ma and feldspar from the same intrusion gave an age of 97Ma. These ages show that mineralization at Chisna was forming during the same epoch as important deposits such as the Pebble (96-86Ma) and Orange Hill (114-104Ma) porphyry copper deposits and the gold deposits at Pogo (104Ma), Fort Knox (93Ma) and Livengood (90Ma).




Figure 7: Chisna Project location map.

The exploration camp for the 2011 exploration program opened on June 28, 2011 and closed on October 9. Exploration in 2011 focussed on defining gold mineralization at the Golden Range and South Grubstake, two targets generated in the 2010 program. Over the course of the summer 2155 rock samples and 2335 soil samples were collected and sent for assay. In addition 16 diamond drill holes were completed for a total of 3193 metres.

New highlights since the last MD&A report include the discovery of a new high-grade polymetallic target in the Jolly Green area with grades up to 126.5 g/t gold, 198 g/t silver and 17.7% copper, Corazon Target trench CZ-TR-01 which encountered 7.5 metres with 3.3 g/t gold and 4.6 g/t silver and Matador target drill hole GR-11-08 that encountered 2.7 metres grading 681 g/t silver (Figure 9). Soil sampling and rock chip sampling have confirmed the distribution of significant gold and silver mineralization over an area of approximately 38 square kilometres.




Figure 8: Chisna land position showing distribution of significantly mineralized surface rock samples and their metal associations. Grizzly Lake is the location of the exploration camp which is on the highway with grid electrical power.

Golden Range Target

The 2011 Golden Range exploration program conducted extensive surface sampling collecting a total of 1,785 rock samples with 19% exceeding 1 g/t gold, 5% over 10 g/t gold, and 1% over 50 g/t gold. Rock and soil sampling at Golden Range has now confirmed a 12 kilometre long trend of significant gold and silver mineralization outlining a new District scale gold discovery.

The Jolly Green target emerged late in the 2011 season as having a base metal association with a strong gold, silver and copper association (Table 12). Out of a total of 29 selected grab rock samples collected at Jolly Green, 55% returned values over 1 g/t gold and 24% were greater than 10 g/t gold with 90% of the rock samples returning greater than 0.1% copper and 10% higher than 1% copper. The shear and vein hosted gold, silver, and copper mineralization at Jolly Green is accompanied by widespread copper staining in the surrounding quartz-diorite and may be associated with a copper-gold porphyry system at depth. Jolly Green is another priority target for future exploration.

Table 12: Significant selected grab rock sample assay results from Jolly Green prospect.

Sample ID	Gold (g/t)	Silver (g/t)	Copper (%)
H271989	126.5	129.0	0.4
H262393	28.2	198.0	5.1
H262391	24.2	113.0	0.8
H271987	22.7	25.7	2.8
H271986	15.6	38.2	0.7
H262394	12.5	29.2	1.4
H262392	12.3	109.0	0.5
H262220	4.3	69.6	3.6
H271992	4.1	73.3	8.6
H262397	3.0	144.0	17.7

Test drilling, consisting of two to five drill holes into each of the Notch, City, Matador and Corazon targets totalling 2800 metres, was completed in 2011 (Figure 9). As previously reported, drilling, trenching and surface grab sampling at the Notch intersected a gold mineralized shear zone with over 1 kilometre of mapped strike, returning drill intercepts up to 6.8 metres of 4.49 g/t gold, which it is anticipated will be definition drilled in 2012. Target highlights are listed below:

- **Corazon:** Two trenches at the Corazon target exposed a shear zone yielding 7.5 metres averaging 3.26 g/t gold and 8 metres of 0.5 g/t gold, respectively. Drilling attempts at the mineralized shear failed to hit the target due to poor drilling conditions but did intersect significant gold mineralization in the surrounding alteration zone (Table 13).
- **City:** Three holes were drilled at the City target to evaluate well mineralized, SW dipping fault structures observed at the surface. The holes encountered many zones of lower grade gold highlighted by hole GR-11-01 which returned two 0.7 metre intervals with 3.6 g/t gold and 3.9 g/t silver and 6.2 g/t gold and 6.7 g/t silver respectively (Table 14).
- **Matador:** Two holes were drilled at the Matador target at a shear zone target that has returned high-grade gold and silver results. Poor drilling conditions prevented adequately testing of the target but one hole did return high-grade silver results (GR-11-08 with 2.7 metres of 681 g/t silver). This new discovery of high-grade silver will be a priority target for future exploration.




Figure 9: Geological map of central Golden Range showing the locations of hole collars at Corazon, Matador and the City.

Table 13: Significant Intercepts* from Drilling at the Corazon target.

Hole/Trench ID	From (m)	To (m)	Interval (m)	Gold (g/t)	Silver (g/t)
Trenches					
CZ-TR-01	1.00	8.50	7.50	3.26	4.74
including	1.00	3.00	2.00	10.40	10.91
CZ-TR-03	1.00	9.00	8.00	0.48	1.57
Drill Holes					
GR-11-04	48.0	53.1	5.1	0.90	0.76
including	48.0	49.3	1.3	1.84	0.95
GR-11-05	43.2	46.3	3.1	0.68	0.59
including	45.2	46.3	1.1	1.52	0.89
GR-11-05	80.5	87.0	6.5	0.60	1.27
including	80.5	81.7	1.2	2.51	3.98
GR-11-06	45.7	48.7	3.1	0.90	0.96
	111.2	113.5	2.3	1.88	6.30
including	111.2	112.3	1.1	3.29	8.68
GR-11-07	35.5	37.8	2.3	1.73	1.82
including	37.0	37.8	0.8	4.75	0.97

*Intercepts calculated using a cut off of 0.1g/t gold with maximum 3m of internal waste. Reported drill intercepts are not true widths. At this time, there is insufficient data with respect to the shape of the mineralization to calculate its true orientation in space.

Table 14: Significant Intercepts* from Drilling at the City and Matador targets.

Hole ID	From (m)	To (m)	Interval (m)	Gold (g/t)	Silver (g/t)	Prospect
GR-11-01	150.3	151.0	0.7	3.59	3.87	City
	233.9	234.6	0.7	6.21	6.68	
GR-11-02	65.0	65.8	0.8	1.05	0.68	City
	208.7	211.5	2.8	1.57	0.38	
GR-11-03	No Significant Intercepts					City
GR-11-08	105.7	108.4	2.7	0.02	681.32	Matador
GR-11-11	No Significant Intercepts					Matador

** Intercepts calculated using a cut off of 0.1g/t gold with maximum 3m of internal waste. Reported drill intercepts are not true widths. At this time, there is insufficient data with respect to the shape of the mineralization to calculate its true orientation in space.*

All of the foregoing information regarding the Chisna project has been provided by Ocean Park, whose wholly owned Alaska subsidiary, Ocean Park Alaska, is the operator of the joint venture on the Chisna Project. Further information with respect to the 2011/12 results at Chisna will be provided as received from Ocean Park Alaska, who is the operator of the 2012 program at Chisna.

Quebec Property

Gerfaut Project

The Gerfaut property is located in the Paleoproterozoic trans-Hudsonian orogen of northern Quebec (Figure 10). Gold mineralization occurs as both quartz veins and disseminated in meta volcanic and meta sedimentary rocks associated of the Parent Group. The deposit was discovered in 1995 by Falconbridge while prospecting for nickel in the region. Surface rock samples with anomalous gold were found over a strike length of 5.6 kilometres in sparse outcrops due to a thin veneer of glacial till cover. In 1996 Falconbridge drilled two holes totalling 320 metres. The first hole encountered mostly basaltic volcanics and encountered several zones of significant mineralization (Table 15).

Falconbridge optioned the property to Exploration Boréale Inc. in 1997. They conducted ground geophysical surveys and collected a number of mineralized rock samples over the property but did not do additional drilling and the core claims reverted to Les Ressources Tectonic Inc. ("LRT")




Figure 10: Gerfaut Project, magnetic total field intensity with surface sample and drill hole locations. Rock samples with white triangles have assays posted. Rocks with no significant values shown in black. There has been very little sampling over this 12 kilometre long magnetic feature.

Table 15: Significant Intercepts* from historical Falconbridge drilling on the Gerfaut Project

Hole ID	From (m)	To (m)	Interval (m)	Gold (g/t)
R96-01	82.4	85.0	2.6	1.69
PAR96-01	102.9	104.9	2.0	3.87
PAR96-01	107.6	113.4	5.8	4.27
including	110.0	112.0	2.0	10.88
PAR96-02	7.9	8.4	0.5	1.05
PAR96-02	63.4	64.0	0.6	0.87

**Calculated using a 0.5g/t gold cut off and no more than 2 meters of internal waste. Interval is approximate true width.*

The Company has signed a binding letter of intent with LRT dated February 2, 2012 which covers an area of approximately 21 km², with a formal agreement to follow. Key terms of the agreement are as follows:

- Signing and additional claim staking payment: \$10,000
- 5 year earn-in for 60% interest of \$255,000 in payments and \$565,000 in work commitments
- Corvus grants LRT a 2% Net Smelter Return (NSR) royalty of which Corvus can buy back 1% for \$1.5M
- Corvus has an option to earn an extra 20% interest by spending an additional \$2M work commitment over 3 years
- Following earn-in and formation of the joint venture, should LRT dilute to a 10% ownership position their interest would be converted to a 3% Net Profit Interest (NPI)

The Company is presently formulating an initial work program, anticipated to begin in summer, 2012.

Qualified Person and Quality Control/Quality Assurance

Jeffrey A. Pontius (CPG 11044), a qualified person as defined by National Instrument 43-101, has supervised the preparation of the scientific and technical information that forms the basis for this MD&A (other than with respect to the work done and results released by First Star and Terra Gold and the 2011 work done and results released by Ocean Park Alaska) and has approved the disclosure herein. Mr. Pontius is not independent of the Company, as he is the CEO and holds common shares and incentive stock options.

The exploration program at North Bullfrog was designed and supervised by Russell Myers (CPG-11433), President of the Company, and Mark Reischman, Nevada Exploration Manager, who are responsible for all aspects of the work, including the quality control/quality assurance program. On-site personnel at the project log and track all samples prior to sealing and shipping. All sample shipments are sealed and shipped to ALS Chemex in Reno, Nevada, for preparation and then on to ALS Chemex in Reno, Nevada, or Vancouver, B.C., for assay.

Carl Brechtel (Colorado PE 23212 and Nevada PE 8744), a qualified person as defined by National Instrument 43-101, has supervised the North Bullfrog metallurgical testing program and preparation of the PEA. Mr. Brechtel is not independent of the Company, as he is the Manager of Project Development and holds common shares and incentive stock options.

Russell Myers, a qualified person as defined by National Instrument 43-101, has reviewed and to the extent possible independently verified the geological information, and has approved the disclosure herein, with respect to the LMS project. QAQC protocols were similar to those used on all Corvus projects with internal control samples inserted into each shipment with shipments sealed and shipped to ALS Chemex in Fairbanks, Alaska. Mr. Myers is not independent of the Company, as he is the President and holds common shares and incentive stock options in Corvus.

Russell Myers, a qualified person as defined by National Instrument 43-101, has reviewed and independently verified the Ocean Park information contained herein and has approved the disclosure herein with respect to the Chisna project. Mr. Myers has been directly involved in the shipment and analysis of samples from the Chisna Project. QAQC protocols are identical to those used on all Corvus projects with internal control samples inserted into each shipment with shipments sealed and shipped to ALS Chemex in Fairbanks, Alaska. Mr. Myers is not independent of the Company, as he is the President and holds common shares and incentive stock options in Corvus.

ALS Chemex's quality system complies with the requirements for the International Standards ISO 9001:2000 and ISO 17025:1999. Analytical accuracy and precision are monitored by the analysis of reagent blanks, reference material and replicate samples. Quality control is further assured by the use of international and in-house standards. Finally, representative blind duplicate samples are forwarded to ALS Chemex and an ISO compliant third party laboratory for additional quality control.

Russell Myers, a qualified person as defined by National Instrument 43-101, has reviewed and to the extent possible verified the geological information contained herein and has approved the disclosure herein with respect to the Gerfaut Project. No specific QAQC information is available on the Gerfaut sampling and analytical procedures. Mr. Myers is not independent of the Company, as he is the President and holds common shares and incentive stock options in Corvus.

Risk Factors

Due to the nature of the Company's proposed business and the present stage of exploration of its U.S. and Canadian property interests (which are primarily early to advanced stage exploration properties with no known reserves), the following risk factors, among others, will apply:

Resource Exploration and Development is Generally a Speculative Business: Resource exploration and development is a speculative business and involves a high degree of risk, including, among other things, unprofitable efforts resulting both from the failure to discover mineral deposits and from finding mineral deposits which, though present, are insufficient in size and grade at the then prevailing market conditions to return a profit from production. The marketability of natural resources which may be acquired or discovered by the Company will be affected by numerous factors beyond the control of the Company. These factors include market fluctuations, the proximity and capacity of natural resource markets, government regulations, including regulations relating to prices, taxes, royalties, land use, importing and exporting of minerals and environmental protection. The exact effect of these factors cannot be accurately predicted, but the combination of these factors may result in the Company not receiving an adequate return on invested capital.

Other than the Terra and North Bullfrog properties, which have estimated inferred and/or indicated resources identified, there are no known resources, and there are no known reserves, on any of the Company's properties. The majority of exploration projects do not result in the discovery of commercially mineable deposits of ore. Substantial expenditures are required to establish ore reserves through drilling and metallurgical and other testing techniques, determine metal content and metallurgical recovery processes to extract metal from the ore, and construct, renovate or expand mining and processing facilities. No assurance can be given that any level of recovery of ore reserves will be realized or that any identified mineral deposit will ever qualify as a commercial mineable ore body which can be legally and economically exploited.

Fluctuation of Metal Prices: Even if commercial quantities of mineral deposits are discovered by the Company, there is no guarantee that a profitable market will exist for the sale of the metals produced. The Company's long-term viability and profitability depend, in large part, upon the market price of metals which have experienced significant movement over short periods of time, and are affected by numerous factors beyond the control of the Company, including international economic and political trends, expectations of inflation, currency exchange fluctuations, interest rates and global or regional consumption patterns, speculative activities and increased production due to improved mining and production methods. The supply of and demand for metals are affected by various factors, including political events, economic conditions and production costs in major producing regions. There can be no assurance that the price of any minerals produced from the Company's properties will be such that any such deposits can be mined at a profit.

Permits and Licenses: The operations of the Company will require licenses and permits from various governmental authorities. There can be no assurance that the Company will be able to obtain all necessary licenses and permits that may be required to carry out exploration, development and mining operations at its projects, on reasonable terms or at all. Delays or a failure to obtain such licenses and permits or a failure to comply with the terms of any such licenses and permits that the Company does obtain, could have a material adverse effect on the Company.

Acquisition of Mineral Claims under Agreements: The agreements pursuant to which the Company has the right to acquire interests in a number of its properties provide that the Company must make a series of cash payments and/or share issuances over certain time periods, expend certain minimum amounts on the exploration of the properties or contribute its share of ongoing expenditures. Failure by the Company to make such payments, issue such shares or make such expenditures in a timely fashion may result in the Company losing its interest in such properties. There can be no assurance that the Company will have, or be able to obtain, the necessary financial resources to be able to maintain all of its property agreements in good standing, or to be able to comply with all of its

obligations thereunder, with the result that the Company could forfeit its interest in one or more of its mineral properties.

Proposed Amendments to the United States General Mining Law of 1872: In recent years, the United States Congress has considered a number of proposed amendments to the U.S. *General Mining Law of 1872* (“Mining Law”). If adopted, such legislation, among other things, could impose royalties on mineral production from unpatented mining claims located on United States federal lands, result in the denial of permits to mine after the expenditure of significant funds for exploration and development, reduce estimates of mineral reserves and reduce the amount of future exploration and development activity on United States federal lands, all of which could have a material and adverse effect on the Company’s cash flow, results of operations and financial condition.

Uncertainties Relating to Unpatented Mining Claims: Many of the Company’s mineral properties comprise federal unpatented mining claims in the United States. There is a risk that a portion of the Company’s unpatented mining claims could be determined to be invalid, in which case the Company could lose the right to mine any minerals contained within those mining claims. Unpatented mining claims are created and maintained in accordance with the Mining Law. Unpatented mining claims are unique to United States property interests, and are generally considered to be subject to greater title risk than other real property interests due to the validity of unpatented mining claims often being uncertain. This uncertainty arises, in part, out of the complex federal and state laws and regulations under the Mining Law. Unpatented mining claims are always subject to possible challenges of third parties or contests by the United States federal government. The validity of an unpatented mining claim, in terms of both its location and its maintenance, is dependent on strict compliance with a complex body of federal and state statutory and decisional law. Title to the unpatented mining claims may also be affected by undetected defects such as unregistered agreements or transfers. The Company has not obtained full title opinions for the majority of its mineral properties. Not all the mineral properties in which the Company has an interest have been surveyed, and their actual extent and location may be in doubt.

Surface Rights and Access: Although the Company acquires the rights to some or all of the minerals in the ground subject to the mineral tenures that it acquires, or has a right to acquire, in most cases it does not thereby acquire any rights to, or ownership of, the surface to the areas covered by its mineral tenures. In such cases, applicable mining laws usually provide for rights of access to the surface for the purpose of carrying on mining activities, however, the enforcement of such rights through the courts can be costly and time consuming. It is necessary to negotiate surface access or to purchase the surface rights if long-term access is required. There can be no guarantee that, despite having the right at law to access the surface and carry on mining activities, the Company will be able to negotiate satisfactory agreements with any such existing landowners/occupiers for such access or purchase of such surface rights, and therefore it may be unable to carry out planned mining activities. In addition, in circumstances where such access is denied, or no agreement can be reached, the Company may need to rely on the assistance of local officials or the courts in such jurisdiction the outcomes of which cannot be predicted with any certainty. The inability of the Company to secure surface access or purchase required surface rights could materially and adversely affect the timing, cost or overall ability of the Company to develop any mineral deposits it may locate.

No Assurance of Profitability: The Company has no history of production or earnings and due to the nature of its business there can be no assurance that the Company will be profitable. The Company has not paid dividends on its shares since incorporation and does not anticipate doing so in the foreseeable future. All of the Company’s properties are in the exploration stage and the Company has not defined or delineated any proven or probable reserves on any of its properties. None of the Company’s properties are currently under development. Continued exploration of its existing properties and the future development of any properties found to be economically feasible, will require significant funds. The only present source of funds available to the Company is through the sale of its equity shares, short-term, high-cost borrowing or the sale or optioning of a portion of its interest in its mineral properties. Even if the results of exploration are encouraging, the Company may not have

sufficient funds to conduct the further exploration that may be necessary to determine whether or not a commercially mineable deposit exists. While the Company may generate additional working capital through further equity offerings, short-term borrowing or through the sale or possible syndication of its properties, there is no assurance that any such funds will be available on favourable terms, or at all. At present, it is impossible to determine what amounts of additional funds, if any, may be required. Failure to raise such additional capital could put the continued viability of the Company at risk.

Uninsured or Uninsurable Risks: Exploration, development and mining operations involve various hazards, including environmental hazards, industrial accidents, metallurgical and other processing problems, unusual or unexpected rock formations, structural cave-ins or slides, flooding, fires, metal losses and periodic interruptions due to inclement or hazardous weather conditions. These risks could result in damage to or destruction of mineral properties, facilities or other property, personal injury, environmental damage, delays in operations, increased cost of operations, monetary losses and possible legal liability. The Company may not be able to obtain insurance to cover these risks at economically feasible premiums or at all. The Company may elect not to insure where premium costs are disproportionate to the Company's perception of the relevant risks. The payment of such insurance premiums and of such liabilities would reduce the funds available for exploration and production activities.

Government Regulation: Any exploration, development or mining operations carried on by the Company will be subject to government legislation, policies and controls relating to prospecting, development, production, environmental protection, mining taxes and labour standards. The Company cannot predict whether or not such legislation, policies or controls, as presently in effect, will remain so, and any changes therein (for example, significant new royalties or taxes), which are completely outside the control of the Company, may materially adversely affect to ability of the Company to continue its planned business within any such jurisdictions.

Recent market events and conditions: From 2007 into 2010, the U.S. credit markets have experienced serious disruption due to a deterioration in residential property values, defaults and delinquencies in the residential mortgage market (particularly, sub-prime and non-prime mortgages) and a decline in the credit quality of mortgage backed securities. These problems have led to a slow-down in residential housing market transactions, declining housing prices, delinquencies in non-mortgage consumer credit and a general decline in consumer confidence. These conditions caused a loss of confidence in the broader U.S. and global credit and financial markets and resulting in the collapse of, and government intervention in, major banks, financial institutions and insurers and creating a climate of greater volatility, less liquidity, widening of credit spreads, a lack of price transparency, increased credit losses and tighter credit conditions. Notwithstanding various actions by the U.S. and foreign governments, concerns about the general condition of the capital markets, financial instruments, banks, investment banks, insurers and other financial institutions caused the broader credit markets to further deteriorate and stock markets to decline substantially. In addition, general economic indicators have deteriorated, including declining consumer sentiment, increased unemployment and declining economic growth and uncertainty about corporate earnings.

While these conditions appear to have improved slightly in 2011, unprecedented disruptions in the credit and financial markets have had a significant material adverse impact on a number of financial institutions and have limited access to capital and credit for many companies. These disruptions could, among other things, make it more difficult for the Company to obtain, or increase its cost of obtaining, capital and financing for its operations. The Company's access to additional capital may not be available on terms acceptable to it or at all.

General economic conditions: The recent unprecedented events in global financial markets have had a profound impact on the global economy. Many industries, including the gold and base metal mining industry, are impacted by these market conditions. Some of the key impacts of the current financial market turmoil include contraction in credit markets resulting in a widening of credit risk, devaluations and high volatility in global equity, commodity, foreign exchange and precious

metal markets, and a lack of market liquidity. A continued or worsened slowdown in the financial markets or other economic conditions, including but not limited to, consumer spending, employment rates, business conditions, inflation, fuel and energy costs, consumer debt levels, lack of available credit, the state of the financial markets, interest rates, and tax rates may adversely affect the Company's growth and profitability. Specifically:

- The global credit/liquidity crisis could impact the cost and availability of financing and the Company's overall liquidity
- the volatility of gold and other base metal prices may impact the Company's future revenues, profits and cash flow
- volatile energy prices, commodity and consumables prices and currency exchange rates impact potential production costs
- the devaluation and volatility of global stock markets impacts the valuation of the Company's common shares, which may impact the Company's ability to raise funds through the issuance of equity securities

These factors could have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition and results of operations.

Insufficient Financial Resources: The Company does not presently have sufficient financial resources to undertake by itself the acquisition, exploration and development of all of its planned acquisition, exploration and development programs. Future property acquisitions and the development of the Company's properties will therefore depend upon the Company's ability to obtain financing through the joint venturing of projects, private placement financing, public financing, short or long term borrowings or other means. There is no assurance that the Company will be successful in obtaining the required financing. Failure to raise the required funds could result in the Company losing, or being required to dispose of, its interest in its properties.

Financing Risks: The Company has limited financial resources, has no source of operating cash flow and has no assurance that additional funding will be available to it for further exploration and development of its projects or to fulfil its obligations under any applicable agreements. There can be no assurance that it will be able to obtain adequate financing in the future or that the terms of such financing will be favourable. Failure to obtain such additional financing could result in delay or indefinite postponement of further exploration and development of its projects with the possible loss of such properties.

Dilution to the Company's existing shareholders: The Company may require additional equity financing be raised in the future. The Company may issue securities on less than favourable terms to raise sufficient capital to fund its business plan. Any transaction involving the issuance of equity securities or securities convertible into common shares would result in dilution, possibly substantial, to present and prospective holders of common shares.

Increased costs: Management anticipates that costs at the Company's projects will frequently be subject to variation from one year to the next due to a number of factors, such as the results of ongoing exploration activities (positive or negative), changes in the nature of mineralization encountered, and revisions to exploration programs, if any, in response to the foregoing. In addition, exploration program costs are affected by the price of commodities such as fuel, rubber and electricity and the availability (or otherwise) of consultants and drilling contractors. Increases in the prices of such commodities or a scarcity of consultants or drilling contractors could render the costs of exploration programs to increase significantly over those budgeted. A material increase in costs for any significant exploration programs could have a significant effect on the Company's operating funds and ability to continue its planned exploration programs.

Dependence Upon Others and Key Personnel: The success of the Company's operations will depend upon numerous factors, many of which are beyond the Company's control, including (i) the ability of the Company to enter into strategic alliances through a combination of one or more joint ventures, mergers or acquisition transactions; and (ii) the ability to attract and retain additional key personnel in exploration, mine development, sales, marketing, technical support and finance. These and other factors will require the use of outside suppliers as well as the talents and efforts of the Company. There can be no assurance of success with any or all of these factors on which the Company's operations will depend. The Company has relied and may continue to rely, upon consultants and others for operating expertise.

Currency Fluctuations: The Company maintains its accounts in Canadian and U.S. dollars, making it subject to foreign currency fluctuations. Such fluctuations may materially affect the Company's financial position and results.

Share Price Volatility: In recent years, the securities markets in the United States and Canada have experienced a high level of price and volume volatility, and the market price of securities of many companies, particularly those considered exploration or development stage companies, have experienced wide fluctuations in price which have not necessarily been related to the operating performance, underlying asset values or prospects of such companies. There can be no assurance that significant fluctuations in the trading price of the Company's common shares will not occur, or that such fluctuations will not materially adversely impact on the Company's ability to raise equity funding without significant dilution to its existing shareholders, or at all.

Exploration and Mining Risks: Fires, power outages, labour disruptions, flooding, explosions, cave-ins, landslides and the inability to obtain suitable or adequate machinery, equipment or labour are other risks involved in the operation of mines and the conduct of exploration programs. Substantial expenditures are required to establish reserves through drilling, to develop metallurgical processes, to develop the mining and processing facilities and infrastructure at any site chosen for mining. Although substantial benefits may be derived from the discovery of a major mineralized deposit, no assurance can be given that minerals will be discovered in sufficient quantities to justify commercial operations or that funds required for development can be obtained on a timely basis. The economics of developing mineral properties is affected by many factors including the cost of operations, variations of the grade of ore mined, fluctuations in the price of gold or other minerals produced, costs of processing equipment and such other factors as government regulations, including regulations relating to royalties, allowable production, importing and exporting of minerals and environmental protection. In addition, the grade of mineralization ultimately mined may differ from that indicated by drilling results and such differences could be material. Short term factors, such as the need for orderly development of ore bodies or the processing of new or different grades, may have an adverse effect on mining operations and on the results of operations. There can be no assurance that minerals recovered in small scale laboratory tests will be duplicated in large scale tests under on-site conditions or in production scale operations. Material changes in geological resources, grades, stripping ratios or recovery rates may affect the economic viability of projects.

Environmental Restrictions: The activities of the Company are subject to environmental regulations promulgated by government agencies in different countries from time to time. Environmental legislation generally provides for restrictions and prohibitions on spills, releases or emissions into the air, discharges into water, management of waste, management of hazardous substances, protection of natural resources, antiquities and endangered species and reclamation of lands disturbed by mining operations. Certain types of operations require the submission and approval of environmental impact assessments. Environmental legislation is evolving in a manner which means stricter standards, and enforcement, fines and penalties for non-compliance are more stringent. Environmental assessments of proposed projects carry a heightened degree of responsibility for companies and directors, officers and employees. The cost of compliance with changes in governmental regulations has a potential to reduce the profitability of operations.

Regulatory Requirements: The activities of the Company are subject to extensive regulations governing various matters, including environmental protection, management and use of toxic substances and explosives, management of natural resources, exploration, development of mines, production and post-closure reclamation, exports, price controls, taxation, regulations concerning business dealings with indigenous peoples, labour standards on occupational health and safety, including mine safety, and historic and cultural preservation. Failure to comply with applicable laws and regulations may result in civil or criminal fines or penalties, enforcement actions thereunder, including orders issued by regulatory or judicial authorities causing operations to cease or be curtailed, and may include corrective measures requiring capital expenditures, installation of additional equipment, or remedial actions, any of which could result in the Company incurring significant expenditures. The Company may also be required to compensate those suffering loss or damage by reason of a breach of such laws, regulations or permitting requirements. It is also possible that future laws and regulations, or more stringent enforcement of current laws and regulations by governmental authorities, could cause additional expense, capital expenditures, restrictions on or suspension of the Company's operations and delays in the exploration and development of the Company's properties.

Limited Experience with Development-Stage Mining Operations: The Company has limited experience in placing resource properties into production, and its ability to do so will be dependent upon using the services of appropriately experienced personnel or entering into agreements with other major resource companies that can provide such expertise. There can be no assurance that the Company will have available to it the necessary expertise when and if it places its resource properties into production.

Estimates of Mineral Reserves and Resources and Production Risks: The mineral resource estimates included in this MD&A are estimates only and no assurance can be given that any particular level of recovery of minerals will in fact be realized or that an identified reserve or resource will ever qualify as a commercially mineable (or viable) deposit which can be legally and economically exploited. The estimating of mineral resources and mineral reserves is a subjective process and the accuracy of mineral resource and mineral reserve estimates is a function of the quantity and quality of available data, the accuracy of statistical computations, and the assumptions used and judgments made in interpreting available engineering and geological information. There is significant uncertainty in any mineral resource or mineral reserve estimate and the actual deposits encountered and the economic viability of a deposit may differ materially from the Company's estimates. In addition, the grade of mineralization ultimately mined may differ from that indicated by drilling results and such differences could be material. Production can be affected by such factors as permitting regulations and requirements, weather, environmental factors, unforeseen technical difficulties, unusual or unexpected geological formations and work interruptions. Short term factors, such as the need for orderly development of deposits or the processing of new or different grades, may have a material adverse effect on mining operations and on the results of operations. There can be no assurance that minerals recovered in small scale laboratory tests will be duplicated in large scale tests under on-site conditions or in production scale operations. Material changes in reserves or resources, grades, stripping ratios or recovery rates may affect the economic viability of projects. The estimated resources described in this MD&A should not be interpreted as assurances of mine life or of the profitability of future operations. Estimated mineral resources and mineral reserves may have to be re-estimated based on changes in applicable commodity prices, further exploration or development activity or actual production experience. This could materially and adversely affect estimates of the volume or grade of mineralization, estimated recovery rates or other important factors that influence mineral resource or mineral reserve estimates. Market price fluctuations for gold, silver or base metals, increased production costs or reduced recovery rates or other factors may render any particular reserves uneconomical or unprofitable to develop at a particular site or sites. A reduction in estimated reserves could require material write downs in investment in the affected mining property and increased amortization, reclamation and closure charges.

Mineral resources are not mineral reserves and there is no assurance that any mineral resources will ultimately be reclassified as proven or probable reserves. Mineral resources which are not mineral reserves do not have demonstrated economic viability.

Enforcement of Civil Liabilities: As substantially all of the assets of the Company and its subsidiaries are located outside of Canada, and certain of the directors and officers of the Company are resident outside of Canada, it may be difficult or impossible to enforce judgements granted by a court in Canada against the assets of the Company or the directors and officers of the Company residing outside of Canada.

Mining Industry is Intensely Competitive: The Company's business of the acquisition, exploration and development of mineral properties is intensely competitive. The Company may be at a competitive disadvantage in acquiring additional mining properties because it must compete with other individuals and companies, many of which have greater financial resources, operational experience and technical capabilities than the Company. The Company may also encounter increasing competition from other mining companies in efforts to hire experienced mining professionals. Competition for exploration resources at all levels is currently very intense, particularly affecting the availability of manpower, drill rigs and helicopters. Increased competition could adversely affect the Company's ability to attract necessary capital funding or acquire suitable producing properties or prospects for mineral exploration in the future.

The Company may be a "passive foreign investment company" under the U.S. Internal Revenue Code, which may result in material adverse U.S. federal income tax consequences to investors in Common Shares that are U.S. taxpayers: Investors in the Company's common shares that are U.S. taxpayers should be aware that the Company expects it will be in the current year, a "passive foreign investment company" under Section 1297(a) of the U.S. Internal Revenue Code (a "PFIC"). If the Company is or becomes a PFIC, generally any gain recognized on the sale of its common shares and any "excess distributions" (as specifically defined) paid on its common shares must be rateably allocated to each day in a U.S. taxpayer's holding period for the common shares. The amount of any such gain or excess distribution allocated to prior years of such U.S. taxpayer's holding period for the common shares generally will be subject to U.S. federal income tax at the highest tax applicable to ordinary income in each such prior year, and the U.S. taxpayer will be required to pay interest on the resulting tax liability for each such prior year, calculated as if such tax liability had been due in each such prior year.

Alternatively, a U.S. taxpayer that makes a "qualified electing fund" (a "QEF") election with respect to the Company generally will be subject to U.S. federal income tax on such U.S. taxpayer's pro rata share of the Company's "net capital gain" and "ordinary earnings" (as specifically defined and calculated under U.S. federal income tax rules), regardless of whether such amounts are actually distributed by the Company. U.S. taxpayers should be aware, however, that there can be no assurance that the Company will satisfy record keeping requirements under the QEF rules or that the Company will supply U.S. taxpayers with required information under the QEF rules, in event that the Company is a PFIC and a U.S. taxpayer wishes to make a QEF election. As a second alternative, a U.S. taxpayer may make a "mark-to-market election" if the Company is a PFIC and its common shares are "marketable stock" (as specifically defined). A U.S. taxpayer that makes a mark-to-market election generally will include in gross income, for each taxable year in which the Company is a PFIC, an amount equal to the excess, if any, of (a) the fair market value of the common shares as of the close of such taxable year over (b) such U.S. taxpayer's adjusted tax basis in the common shares.

Selected Financial Information

Selected Annual Information

The Company's condensed interim consolidated financial statements for the third quarter ended February 29, 2012 (the "Interim Financial Statements") have been prepared in accordance with IFRS applicable to the preparation of interim financial statements, including International Accounting Standard ("IAS") 34 "Interim Financial Reporting" and IFRS 1 "First-time Adoption of International Financial Reporting Standards". The following selected financial information for the year ended May 31, 2011 is taken from the Company's unaudited condensed interim consolidated financial statements for the period ended August 31, 2011. The information for the year ended May 31, 2010 is taken from the audited consolidated financial statements for the year ended May 31, 2010. The information for the year ended May 31, 2009 is taken from the audited consolidated financial statements of the Nevada and Other Alaska Business of ITH for the year ended May 31, 2009. This information should be read in conjunction with those statements. Selected annual financial information appears below.

Description	May 31, 2011 \$ (annual) IFRS	May 31, 2010 \$ (annual) Canadian GAAP	May 31, 2009 \$ (annual) Canadian GAAP
Interest Income	23	-	-
Consulting fees (including share-based payment charges)	1,653,417	1,089,274	611,203
Property investigation expenditures	6,473	83	34,455
Wages and benefits (including share-based payment charges)	485,531	1,769,408	1,071,598
Professional fees (including share-based payment charges)	314,820	182,477	146,507
Investor relations (including share-based payment charges)	464,824	327,092	256,261
Write-off of exploration and evaluation assets	-	-	1,002,438
Foreign exchange gain (loss)	7,917	(7,181)	(54,275)
Loss for the year	(2,786,623)	(3,603,369)	(3,346,679)
Per share	(0.07)	(0.12)	(0.07)
Balance sheet:			
Cash and cash equivalents	7,335,406	-	-
Total Current Assets	7,608,337	13,663	-
Exploration and evaluation assets	13,553,597	12,245,690	11,054,413
Long term financial liabilities	-	-	-
Cash dividends	N/A	N/A	N/A

Third Quarter Ended February 29, 2012

The Company ended the third quarter with \$3,381,381 of cash and cash equivalents. The Company spent \$2,162,458 in net exploration expenditures on exploration and evaluation assets, \$6,047 on the purchase of property and equipment and used \$1,808,081 in operating activities. Share-based payment charges of \$195,071 in the nine month period ended February 29, 2012 was due to the recognizing the expense associated with the vesting of certain stock options granted in previous quarters to employees and consultants.

Comparison to Selected Prior Quarterly Periods

The following selected financial information is a summary of quarterly results taken from the Company's unaudited consolidated financial statements of the Company. The information relates to the Company's continuing operations.

	February 29, 2012 (IFRS)	February 28, 2011 (IFRS)
Nine months ended		
Interest Income	\$ 13,492	\$ 1,102
Share-based payment charges	(195,071)	(2,169,381)
Net loss for the period	(1,785,342)	(2,476,139)
Comprehensive loss for the period	(2,043,762)	(3,453,305)
Basic and diluted loss per common share	\$ (0.04)	\$ (0.07)

	February 29, 2012 (IFRS)	May 31, 2011 (IFRS)
As at		
Working capital	\$ 3,374,915	\$ 7,215,022
Total assets	\$ 19,649,799	\$ 21,206,806
Total liabilities	\$ 155,985	\$ 393,315
Share capital	\$ 27,751,004	\$ 27,751,004

Nine months ended February 29, 2012 Compared to Nine months ended February 28, 2011

For the nine months ended February 29, 2012, the Company had a net loss of \$1,785,342 compared to a net loss of \$2,476,139 in the comparative period of the prior year. The decrease of \$690,797 in the nine months period of the current year was due to a combination of factors discussed below.

For the prior period, operating costs were allocated to the Company on the basis of the ratio of Spin-out Properties book values to the book values of all of the properties of ITH during the prior year and up to the date of the Arrangement transaction, August 25, 2010. For the nine month period ended February 28, 2011, 19.8% of eligible costs from June 1, 2010 to the date of the Arrangement transaction were allocated to the Company as follows:

	2011
Administration	\$ 1,780
Charitable donations	5,413
Consulting fees	265,721
Foreign exchange gain	(20,318)
Insurance	10,099
Investor relations	130,737
Office and miscellaneous	9,632
Professional fees	40,741
Property investigations expenditures	291
Regulatory	3,816
Rent	5,302
Travel	5,625
Wages and benefits	475,318
	<hr/> \$ 934,157 <hr/>

Consulting fees decreased to \$276,052 (2011 – \$1,546,451) due to share-based payment charges of \$146,082 during the current period compared to \$1,418,974 in the prior period.

Depreciation expenses increased to \$10,974 (2011 - \$940) due to additions of new computer equipment during the period.

Insurance expenses increased to \$40,148 (2011 - \$16,228) due to new policies being entered into during the current period and the comparative period cost being allocated on a percentage basis.

Investor relations expenses decreased to \$274,993 (2011 - \$423,658) mainly due to share-based payment charges of \$11,664 during the current period compared to \$274,388 in the prior period. The decrease in share-based payment charges was offset by an increase of \$114,059 due to a combination of increases in investor relations-related travel, advertising and marketing, and the number of personnel engaged.

Office and miscellaneous expenses increased to \$139,747 (2011 - \$18,792) due to a combination of new software and publication subscriptions during the current period, migration of the IT system to a new server, increased monthly IT support costs, increased costs in the new Vancouver office premises, setting up of the Denver office and the comparative period costs being allocated on a percentage basis.

Professional fees increased to \$248,559 (2011 - \$225,342) due to increased legal costs resulting from more activity in relation to exploration and evaluation asset agreements during the period, a change in accounting personnel and the prior period expenses being allocated to the Company on a percentage basis. In addition, share-based payment charges decreased to \$13,997 in the current period compared with \$60,279 in the prior period.

Regulatory expenses decreased to \$21,991 (2011 - \$100,891) due to fees paid in relation to the Plan of Arrangement in the prior period.

Rent increased to \$47,109 (2011 - \$5,302) due to the Company commencing monthly rental payments for office space, whereas previously this was allocated on a percentage basis.

Travel expenses increased to \$101,670 (2011 - \$10,919) due to attendance at more trade shows and conferences in the current period compared to the prior period.

Wages and benefits increased to \$619,775 (2011 - \$481,864) due to bonuses of \$120,000 paid to officers of the Company during the current period and a full year of wages and benefits being charged compared with the comparative period costs being allocated on a percentage basis. In addition, share-based payment charges decreased from \$415,723 in the comparative period to \$23,328 in the current period.

Other expense categories which reflected only moderate change period over period were administration expenses of \$5,782 (2011 - \$2,318), charitable donations of \$8,362 (2011 - \$6,413) and property investigation expenses of \$11,455 (2011 - \$4,349). These changes were mainly because the prior period comparatives were calculated on the basis of the ratio of costs incurred on the Spin-out Properties in each prior year as compared to the costs incurred on all mineral properties of ITH in each of those prior years. Because the Company is still establishing itself since the completion of the Arrangement, a comparison of specific expense categories with the prior periods (when the Company's assets were held by ITH) is not necessarily meaningful.

Other items amounted to a gain of \$21,275 compared to a gain of \$367,328 in the prior period. The decrease in other income is due to the Company earning operator fees of \$nil (2011 - \$366,205) in relation to the OPV/Raven Joint Venture (Chisna Project) in the prior period and ceasing to act as operator in the current period. There was also an increase in foreign exchange gain of \$7,783 (2011 - \$1,102), which is the result of factors outside of the Company's control, and an increase in interest income of \$13,492 (2011 - \$21) as a result of investment in a cashable GIC during the current period.

Share-based Payment Charges

Share-based payment charges for the nine months period ended February 29, 2012 of \$207,245 (2011 - \$2,242,868) were allocated as follows:

2012 (IFRS)	Before allocation of share-based payment charges	Share-based payment charges	After Allocation of share-based payment charges
Consulting	\$ 129,970	\$ 146,082	\$ 276,052
Investor relations	263,329	11,664	274,993
Professional fees	234,562	13,997	248,559
Wages and benefits	596,447	23,328	619,775
		195,071	
Exploration and evaluation assets		12,174	
		\$ 207,245	
2011 (IFRS)	Before allocation of share-based payment charges	Share-based payment charges	After Allocation of share-based payment charges
Consulting	\$ 127,477	\$ 1,418,974	\$ 1,546,451
Investor relations	149,270	274,388	423,658
Professional fees	165,045	60,297	225,342
Wages and benefits	66,141	415,723	481,864
		2,169,382	
Exploration and evaluation assets		73,486	
		\$ 2,242,868	

Three months ended February 29, 2012 Compared to Three Months ended February 28, 2011

For the three months ended February 29, 2012, the Company had a net loss of \$724,800 compared to a net loss of \$367,321 in the comparative period of the prior year. The increase of \$357,479 in the three month period of the current year was due to a combination of factors discussed below.

Consulting fees decreased to \$77,374 (2011 - \$153,450) due to share-based payment charges of \$29,124 during the current period compared to \$102,200 in the prior period.

Investor relations expenses increased to \$96,074 (2011 - \$66,419) due to a combination of an increase in investor relations-related travel, advertising and marketing, and the number of personnel engaged during the period. In addition, share-based payment charges decreased to \$3,051 during the current period compared to \$29,808 in the prior period.

Office and miscellaneous expenses increased to \$60,267 (2011 - \$1,657) due to a combination of new software licences and publication subscriptions during the current period, increased monthly IT support costs and increased costs in the new Vancouver office premises in the current period.

Professional fees decreased to \$68,664 (2011 - \$93,860) due to share-based payment charges of \$3,661 during the current period compared to \$25,550 in the prior period.

Rent increased to \$22,088 (2011 - \$nil) due to the Company now paying monthly rent for the Vancouver office space, which commenced April 2011.

Travel expenses increased to \$41,873 (2011 - \$5,294) due to increased attendance at trade shows and conferences in the current period.

Wages and benefits increased to \$344,994 (2011 - \$1,956) due to bonuses of \$120,000 paid to officers of the Company during the current period and a full year of wages and benefits being charged compared with the comparative period costs being allocated on a percentage basis. In addition, share-based payment charges increased from \$nil in the comparative period to \$6,102 in the current period.

Other expense categories that reflected only moderate change period over period were administration expenses of \$1,880 (2011 - \$538), charitable donations of \$499 (2011 - \$nil), depreciation expenses of \$3,699 (2011 - \$463), insurance expenses of \$12,534 (2011 - \$6,129), property investigation expenses of \$249 (2011 - \$3,227) and regulatory expenses of \$11,872 (2011 - \$20,967). Because the Company is still establishing itself since the completion of the Arrangement, a comparison of specific expense categories with the prior periods (when the Company's assets were held by ITH) is not necessarily meaningful.

Other items amounted to a gain of \$17,267 compared to a loss of \$13,361 in the prior period. The increase in other income is due to an increase in foreign exchange gain to \$3,783, (2011 - loss of \$30,354) for the period, which is the result of factors outside of the Company's control and an increase in interest income to \$13,484 (2011 - \$21) as a result of investment in a cashable GIC during the current period. These increases were offset by the Company earning operator fees of \$nil (2011 - \$16,972) in relation to the OPV/Raven Joint Venture (Chisna Project) in the prior period and ceasing to act as operator in the current period.

Supplemental Information:
Comparison to Selected Prior Quarterly Periods

The following selected financial information is a summary of quarterly results taken from the Company's unaudited quarterly consolidated financial statements:

Description	February 29, 2012 (IFRS)	November 30, 2011 (IFRS)	August 31, 2011 (IFRS)	May 31, 2011 (Canadian GAAP)
Interest income	\$ 13,484	\$ -	\$ 8	\$ 2
Operator fee income	-	-	-	14,397
Net income (loss) for the period	(724,800)	(575,366)	(485,176)	(267,747)
Basic and diluted loss per common share	\$ (0.02)	\$ (0.01)	\$ (0.01)	\$ (0.01)

Description	February 28, 2011 (IFRS)	November 30, 2010 (IFRS)	August 31, 2010 (IFRS)	May 31, 2010 (Canadian GAAP)
Interest income	\$ 21	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Operator fee income	16,972	349,233	-	-
Net income (loss) for the period	(367,321)	(1,174,450)	(934,368)	(2,153,063)
Basic and diluted loss per common share	\$ (0.01)	\$ (0.03)	\$ (0.03)	\$ (0.07)

The previous discussion discusses the reasons for some of the variations in the quarterly numbers but, as with most junior mineral exploration companies, the results of operations (including interest income and net losses) are not the main factor in establishing the financial health of the Company. Of far greater significance are the mineral properties in which the Company has, or may earn an interest, its working capital and how many shares it has outstanding. The variation seen over such quarters is primarily dependent upon the success of the Company's ongoing property evaluation program and the timing and results of the Company's exploration activities on its then current properties, none of which are possible to predict with any accuracy. There are no general trends regarding the Company's quarterly results, and the Company's business of mineral exploration is not seasonal. Quarterly results can vary significantly depending on whether the Company has abandoned any properties or granted any stock options and these are the factors that can account for material variations in the Company's quarterly net losses, none of which are predictable. The write-off of exploration and evaluation assets can have a material effect on quarterly results as and when they occur. Another factor which can cause a material variation in net loss on a quarterly basis is the grant of stock options due to the resulting share-based payment charges which can be significant when they arise. General operating costs other than the specific items noted above tend to be quite similar from period to period. The variation in income is related solely to the interest earned on funds held by the Company, which is dependent upon the success of the Company in raising the required financing for its activities which will vary with overall market conditions, and is therefore difficult to predict.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

The Company has no revenue generating operations from which it can internally generate funds. To date, the Company's ongoing operations have been financed by the sale of its equity securities by way of private placements and initial working capital received from ITH in connection with the completion of the Arrangement on August 25, 2010. The Company believes that it will be able to secure additional private placements financings in the future, although it cannot predict the size or pricing of any such financings. In addition, the Company can raise funds through the sale of interests in its

mineral properties, although current market conditions have substantially reduced the number of potential buyers/acquirers of any such interest(s). This situation is unlikely to change until such time as the Company can develop a bankable feasibility study on one of its projects. When acquiring an interest in mineral properties through purchase or option the Company will sometimes issue common shares to the vendor or optionee of the property as partial or full consideration for the property interest in order to conserve its cash.

As at February 29, 2012, the Company reported cash and cash equivalents of \$3,381,381 compared to \$7,355,406 as at May 31, 2011. The change in cash position was the net result of \$6,047 expenditures on property and equipment, \$2,266,068 on net exploration and evaluation assets and \$1,709,211 used in operating activities.

As at February 29, 2012, the Company had working capital of \$3,347,915 compared to working capital of \$7,215,022 as at May 31, 2011. The Company expects that it will operate at a loss for the foreseeable future and that, although it believes the current cash and cash equivalents will be sufficient for it to maintain its currently held properties, and its currently anticipated general and administrative costs, for the balance of the fiscal year ending May 31, 2012 and the subsequent fiscal year ending May 31, 2013, it will require additional financing to fund further exploration of its current exploration and evaluation assets, to acquire additional exploration and evaluation assets and to continue its operations (including general and administrative expenses) beyond that date.

The Company currently has no further funding commitments or arrangements for additional financing at this time (other than the potential exercise of options) and there is no assurance that the Company will be able to obtain additional financing on acceptable terms, if at all. There is significant uncertainty that the Company will be able to secure any additional financing in the current equity markets - see "Risk Factors - Insufficient Financial Resources/Share Price Volatility". The quantity of funds to be raised and the terms of any proposed equity financing that may be undertaken will be negotiated by management as opportunities to raise funds arise. Specific plans related to the use of proceeds will be devised once financing has been completed and management knows what funds will be available for these purposes.

The Company has no exposure to any asset-backed commercial paper. Other than cash held by its subsidiaries for their immediate operating needs in Alaska and Nevada, all of the Company's cash reserves are on deposit with a major Canadian chartered bank. The Company does not believe that the credit, liquidity or market risks with respect thereto have increased as a result of the current market conditions. However, in order to achieve greater security for the preservation of its capital, the Company has, of necessity, been required to accept lower rates of interest, which has also lowered its potential interest income.

Transactions with Related Parties

During the three month period ended February 29, 2012, the Company entered into the following transactions with related parties and paid or accrued the following amounts, excluding share-based payment charges, in connection therewith:

Name	Relationship	Purpose of transaction	Amount
Jeffrey Pontius	CEO of the Company	Wages and benefits	\$ 89,127
Russell Myers	President of the Company	Wages and benefits	\$ 89,127
Blue Pegasus Consulting Inc.	Company controlled by the CFO of the Company	Consulting	\$ 28,000
Lawrence W. Talbot Law Corporation	Company controlled by the VP and General Counsel of the Company	Professional fees	\$ 18,000
Marla K. Ritchie	Corporate Secretary	Consulting	\$ 3,000
Steve Aaker	Director of the Company	Director Fees	\$ 3,500
Daniel Carriere	Director of the Company	Director Fees	\$ 3,250
Edward Yarrow	Director of the Company	Director Fees	\$ 3,500
Anton Drescher	Director of the Company	Director Fees	\$ 3,500
Rowland Perkins	Director of the Company	Director Fees	\$ 3,500
Cardero Resource Corp.	Company with common officers and directors	Administration Rent	\$ 16,190 \$ 7,313

The Company has entered into a retainer agreement dated June 1, 2011 with Lawrence W. Talbot Law Corporation (“LWTLC”), pursuant to which LWTLC agrees to provide legal services to the Company. Pursuant to the retainer agreement, the Company has agreed to pay LWTLC a minimum annual retainer of \$72,000 (plus applicable taxes and disbursements). The retainer agreement may be terminated by LWTLC on reasonable notice, and by the Company on one year’s notice (or payment of one year’s retainer in lieu of notice). An officer of the Company is a director and shareholder of LWTLC.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

The Company has no off-balance sheet arrangements.

Proposed Transactions

As at the date of this MD&A there are no proposed transactions that the board of directors or senior management who believe that confirmation of the decision by the board is probable, have decided to proceed with and that have not been publicly disclosed.

Critical Accounting Estimates

The preparation of the Company’s consolidated financial statements in conformity with IFRS requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the consolidated financial statements, and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Areas requiring the use of estimates in the preparation of the Company’s consolidated financial statements include the carrying value and the recoverability of the exploration and evaluation assets included in the Statements of Financial Position, the assumptions used to determine the fair value of share-based payments in the Statement of Comprehensive Loss, allocation of administrative expenses on the spin-out from ITH, and the estimated amounts of reclamation and environmental. Management believes the estimates used are reasonable; however, actual results could differ materially from those estimates and, if so, would impact future results of operations and cash flows.

Changes in Accounting Policies Including Initial Adoption

Please refer to Notes 3 and 13 of the Interim Financial Statements for a comprehensive list of the accounting policies adopted and the impact upon transition to IFRS.

Financial Instruments and Other Instruments

The carrying values of the Company's financial instruments, which include cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, and accounts payable and accrued liabilities, approximate their respective fair values due to their short-term maturity. Due to the short term of all such instruments, the Company does not believe that it is exposed to any material risk with respect thereto.

The Company's cash and cash equivalents at February 29, 2012 was \$3,381,381 of which \$621,608 was held in US dollars.

The Company's accounts receivables and payables at February 29, 2012 were normal course business items that are settled on a regular basis.

Material Proceedings

The Company is not a party to any material proceedings.

Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

The management of the Company is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. Internal control over financial reporting is a process to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of the Company's financial reporting for external purposes in accordance with IFRS. Internal control over financial reporting includes maintaining records that in reasonable detail accurately and fairly reflect the Company's transactions and dispositions of the assets of the Company; providing reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary for preparation of the Company's consolidated financial statements in accordance with IFRS; providing reasonable assurance that receipts and expenditures are made in accordance with authorizations of management and the directors of the Company; and providing reasonable assurance that unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of Company's assets that could have a material effect on the Company's consolidated financial statements would be prevented or detected on a timely basis. Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting is not intended to provide absolute assurance that a misstatement of the Company's consolidated financial statements would be prevented or detected.

Management conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on the framework and criteria established in *Internal Control – Integrated Framework*, issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. This evaluation included review of the documentation of controls, evaluation of the design effectiveness of controls, testing of the operating effectiveness of controls and a conclusion on this evaluation. Based on this evaluation, management concluded that the Company's internal control over financial reporting was effective as of February 29, 2012.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with IFRS. The Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that there has been no change in the Company's internal control over financial reporting

during the quarter ended February 29, 2012 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

Disclosure of Outstanding Share Data (At April 12, 2012)

Authorized and Issued Capital Stock:

Authorized	Issued	Value
An unlimited number of common shares without par value	41,660,261	\$ 27,751,004

Incentive Stock Options Outstanding:

Number	Exercise Price	Expiry Date
2,680,000	\$0.75	September 8, 2012
360,000	\$0.82	January 21, 2013
100,000	\$0.69	May 30, 2013
650,000	\$0.50	July 29, 2016
210,000	\$0.67	November 17, 2016
4,000,000		

Warrants Outstanding:

Number	Exercise Price	Expiry Date
325,000 (Agents' Warrants)	\$ 1.10	November 30, 2012

International Financial Reporting Standards

The Company's consolidated financial statements for the year ending May 31, 2012 are the first annual consolidated financial statements that will be prepared in accordance with IFRS. The Company has adopted IFRS on June 1, 2011 with a transition date of June 1, 2010. Under IFRS 1, "*First time adoption of International Financial Reporting Standards*" ("IFRS 1"), the IFRS standards are applied retrospectively at the transition date with all adjustments to assets and liabilities as stated under Canadian GAAP taken to deficit, and IFRS 1 providing for certain optional and mandatory exemptions to this principle.

Below are the adjustments necessary for the IFRS transition, including exemptions taken at the transition date:

a) Share-based payment transactions

IFRS 1 allows that a first-time adopter can elect to not apply IFRS 2 to share-based payments granted after November 7, 2002 that vested before the later of (a) the date of transition to IFRS and (b) January 1, 2005. The Company has elected this exemption and will apply IFRS 2 to only unvested stock options as at June 1, 2010, being the transition date.

IFRS 2 and Canadian GAAP are largely converged, with the exception of two main differences affecting the Company's stock option grants. IFRS 2 does not allow straight-line amortization of share-based payments related to stock options granted with a graded vesting schedule. The

attribution method is required which effectively splits the grant into separate units for valuation purposes based on the vesting schedule. Additionally, IFRS 2 requires the incorporation of an estimate of forfeiture rates. Under Canadian GAAP, the Company's policy was to account for forfeitures as they occurred.

b) Fair value as deemed cost

The Company may elect among two options when measuring the value of its assets under IFRS. It may elect, on an asset by asset basis, to use either historical cost as measured under retrospective application of IFRS or fair value of an asset at the opening balance sheet date. The Company has elected to use historical cost for its assets.

c) Consolidated and Separate Financial Statements

In accordance with IFRS 1, if a company elects to apply IFRS 3 "*Business Combinations*" retrospectively, IAS 27 "*Consolidated and Separate Financial Statements*" must also be applied retrospectively. As the Company elected to apply IFRS 3 prospectively, the Company has applied IAS 27 prospectively.

d) Estimates

The estimates previously made by the Company under pre-changeover Canadian GAAP were not revised for the application of IFRS except where necessary to reflect any difference in accounting policy or where there was objective evidence that those estimates were in error. As a result the Company has not used hindsight to revise estimates.

e) Cumulative translation differences

IFRS 1 allows that a first-time adopter may elect to deem all cumulative translation differences to be zero at the date of transition. The Company has elected this exemption and as such all cumulative translations amounts to June 1, 2010 are included in deficit.

Functional and presentation currency

The functional currency of Corvus Nevada and Raven Gold is US dollars, and for all other entities within the Group, the functional currency is Canadian dollar, as at the transition date of June 1, 2010. The consolidated financial statements are presented in Canadian Dollar ("C\$"), which is the Group's presentation currency.

Translation of transactions and balances

Foreign currency transactions are translated into the functional currency using the exchange rates prevailing at the dates of the transactions or valuation where items are re-measured. Foreign exchange gains and losses resulting from the settlement of such transactions and from the translation at period end exchange rates of monetary assets and liabilities denominated in foreign currencies are recognized in the Statement of Comprehensive Income (Loss).

Group companies

The results and financial position of all the Group entities (none of which has the currency of a hyper-inflationary economy) that have a functional currency different from the presentation currency are translated into the presentation currency as follows:

- Assets and liabilities for each Statement of Financial Position presented are translated at the closing rate at the date of that financial period end;

- Income and expenses for each Statement of Comprehensive Income (Loss) are translated at average exchange rates (unless this average is not a reasonable approximation of the cumulative effect of the rates prevailing on the transaction dates, in which case income and expenses are translated at the rate on the dates of the transactions);
- Equity transactions are translated using the exchange rate at the date of the transaction; and
- All resulting exchange differences are recognized in other comprehensive income and reported as a separate component of equity.

On consolidation, exchange differences arising from the translation of functional to presentation are taken to Accumulative Other Comprehensive Income (Loss).

IAS 21 – “*The effects of Changes in Foreign Exchange Rates*” differs from the Canadian GAAP equivalent, applied by the Group until May 31, 2011. IAS 21 requires an entity to measure its assets, liabilities, revenue and expenses in its functional currency. It has been determined that as at the transition date of June 1, 2010, the functional currency of Corvus Nevada and Raven Gold is US dollars (“USD”) and for all other entities within the Group, the functional currency is Canadian dollars. Prior to the adoption of IFRS, the functional currency of the Group was the Canadian Dollars (“\$”).

Under IAS 21, the assets and liabilities of the Group are translated from Corvus Nevada and Raven Gold’s functional currency USD, to the presentation currency at the reporting date. The income and expenses are translated to the Group’s presentation currency, which is \$ at the dates of the transactions. Foreign currency differences are recognized directly in other comprehensive income within the foreign currency translation reserve.

Impact on Consolidated Financial Statements

	May 31, 2011	February 28, 2011
Exploration and evaluation assets	\$ (1,556,761)	\$ (1,489,937)
Accumulated other comprehensive income	\$ 1,001,253	\$ 977,166
Adjustment to deficit	\$ 555,508	\$ 512,771

Reconciliation to previously reported financial statements

A reconciliation of the above noted changes is included in the following Consolidated Statements of Financial Position and Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Loss for the dates and periods noted below.

- Interim Consolidated Statement of Financial Position Reconciliation – February 28, 2011
- Interim Consolidated Statement of Comprehensive Loss Reconciliation – three months ended February 28, 2011
- Interim Consolidated Statement of Comprehensive Loss Reconciliation – nine months ended February 28, 2011
- Consolidated Statement of Financial Position Reconciliation – May 31, 2011

Reconciliation of pre-changeover CGAAP Shareholders’ Equity to IFRS is included in the Interim Consolidated Statement of Financial Position Reconciliation – February 28, 2011.

As there have been no adjustments to the net cash flows, no reconciliation of the Statement of Cash Flows has been prepared.

Interim Consolidated Statement of Financial Position Reconciliation – February 28, 2011

	Canadian GAAP	Effect of Transition to IFRS	Ref	IFRS
ASSETS				
Current assets				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 8,729,355	\$ -		\$ 8,729,355
Accounts receivable	31,080	-		31,080
Prepaid expenses	41,338	-		41,338
	8,801,773	-		8,801,773
Property and equipment	11,791	-		11,791
Exploration and evaluation assets	14,231,594	(1,489,937) e)		12,741,657
	\$ 23,045,158	\$ (1,489,937)		\$ 21,555,221
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY				
Current liabilities				
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	\$ 440,538	\$ -		\$ 440,538
Shareholders' equity				
Share capital	27,751,004	-		27,751,004
Contributed surplus	8,229,356	-		8,229,356
Accumulated other comprehensive income – cumulative translation differences	-	(977,166) e)		(977,166)
Deficit	(13,375,740)	(512,771) e)		(13,888,511)
	22,604,620	(1,489,937)		21,114,683
	\$23,045,158	\$(1,489,937)		\$ 21,555,221

Interim Consolidated Statement of Comprehensive Loss Reconciliation – Three Months ended February 28, 2011

	Canadian GAAP	Effect of Transition to IFRS	Ref	IFRS
Expenses				
Administration	\$ 538	\$ -		\$ 538
Charitable donations	-	-		-
Consulting fees	153,450	-		153,450
Depreciation	463	-		463
Insurance	6,129	-		6,129
Investor relations	66,419	-		66,419
Office and miscellaneous	1,657	-		1,657
Professional fees	93,860	-		93,860
Property investigation expenditures	3,227	-		3,227
Regulatory	20,967	-		20,967
Rent	-	-		-
Travel	5,294	-		5,294
Wages and benefits	1,956	-		1,956
Loss before other items	(353,960)	-		(353,960)
Other items				
Interest income	21	-		21
Operator fee income	16,972	-		16,972
Foreign exchange gain (loss)	(62,548)	32,194	e)	(30,354)
	(45,555)	32,194		(13,361)
Net loss for the period	(399,515)	32,194		(367,321)
Other comprehensive income				
Exchange difference on translating foreign operations	-	(728,199)	e)	(728,199)
Comprehensive loss for the period	\$ (399,515)	\$ (696,005)		\$ (1,095,520)
Basic and diluted loss per share	\$ (0.01)	\$ -		\$ (0.01)
Weighted average number of shares outstanding	41,659,245	-		41,659,245

Interim Consolidated Statement of Comprehensive Loss Reconciliation – Nine months ended February 28, 2011

	Canadian GAAP	Effect of Transition to IFRS	Ref	IFRS
Expenses				
Administration	\$ 2,318	\$ -		\$ 2,318
Charitable donations	6,413	-		6,413
Consulting fees	1,546,451	-		1,546,451
Depreciation	940	-		940
Insurance	16,228	-		16,228
Investor relations	423,658	-		423,658
Office and miscellaneous	18,792	-		18,792
Professional fees	225,342	-		225,342
Property investigation expenditures	4,349	-		4,349
Regulatory	100,891	-		100,891
Rent	5,302	-		5,302
Travel	10,919	-		10,919
Wages and benefits	481,864	-		481,864
Loss before other items	(2,843,467)	-		(2,843,467)
Other items				
Interest income	21	-		21
Operator fee income	366,205	-		366,205
Foreign exchange gain (loss)	(59,111)	60,213	e)	1,102
	307,115	60,213		367,328
Net loss for the period	(2,536,352)	60,213		(2,476,139)
Other comprehensive income				
Exchange difference on translating foreign operations	-	(977,166)	e)	(977,166)
Comprehensive loss for the period	\$ (2,536,352)	\$ (916,953)		\$ (3,453,305)
Basic and diluted loss per share	\$ (0.07)	\$ -		\$ (0.07)
Weighted average number of shares outstanding	36,285,969	-		36,285,969

Consolidated Statement of Financial Position Reconciliation – May 31, 2011

	Canadian GAAP	Effect of Transition to IFRS	Ref	IFRS
ASSETS				
Current assets				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 7,355,406	\$ -		\$ 7,355,406
Accounts receivable	191,660	-		191,660
Prepaid expenses	61,271	-		61,271
	7,608,337	-		7,608,337
Property and equipment	44,872	-		44,872
Exploration and evaluation assets	15,110,358	(1,556,761) e)		13,553,597
	\$ 22,763,567	\$ (1,556,761)		\$ 21,206,806
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY				
Current liabilities				
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	\$ 393,315	\$ -		\$ 393,315
Shareholders' equity				
Share capital	27,751,004	-		27,751,004
Contributed surplus	8,262,735	-		8,262,735
Accumulated other comprehensive income – cumulative translation differences	-	(1,001,253) e)		(1,001,253)
Deficit	(13,643,487)	(555,508) e)		(14,198,995)
	22,370,252	(1,556,761)		20,813,491
	\$ 22,763,567	\$ (1,556,761)		\$ 21,206,806

Additional Sources of Information

Additional disclosures pertaining to the Company, including its most recent Annual Information Form, financial statements, material change reports, press releases and other information, are available on the SEDAR website at www.sedar.com or on the Company's website at www.corvusgold.com. Readers are urged to review these materials, including the technical reports filed with respect to the Company's mineral properties.